

SINGULAR KÄHLER-EINSTEIN METRICS

PHILIPPE EYSSIDIEUX & VINCENT GUEDJ & AHMED ZERIAHI

ABSTRACT. We study degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations of the form $(\omega + dd^c\varphi)^n = e^{t\varphi}\mu$ where ω is a big semi-Kähler form on a compact Kähler manifold X of dimension n , $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and $\mu = f\omega^n$ is a positive measure with density $f \in L^p(X, \omega^n)$, $p > 1$. We prove the existence and unicity of continuous ω -plurisubharmonic solutions.

In case X is projective and $\omega = \psi^*\omega'$, where $\psi : X \rightarrow V$ is a proper birational morphism to a normal projective variety, $[\omega'] \in NS_{\mathbb{R}}(V)$ is an ample class and μ has only algebraic singularities, we prove that the solution is smooth in the regular locus of the equation.

We use these results to construct singular Kähler-Einstein metrics of non-positive curvature on projective klt pairs, in particular on canonical models of algebraic varieties of general type.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 32W20, 32Q20, 32J27, 14J17.

INTRODUCTION

Thirty years ago, in a celebrated article [Y], S.T. Yau (and independently T. Aubin [A]) solved the Calabi conjecture by studying complex Monge-Ampère equations on a compact Kähler manifold.

Since then, complex Monge-Ampère equations have been extremely useful in Kähler geometry (see for instance [DP]) and in the dynamical study of rational mappings (see [S] and references therein).

Two major developments in the theory of complex Monge-Ampère equations occurred in the last decade. In the local theory, a deeper analysis of the image of the complex Monge-Ampère operator [C], [K 1] has followed the pioneering work of E.Bedford and A.Taylor [BT]. In the global theory a new proof of the C^0 -estimate [K 1,2] has allowed one to treat complex Monge-Ampère equations with more degenerate R.H.S.

In [GZ1], [GZ2], two of us revisited and extended the results of [BT], [C], on complex Monge-Ampère operators to compact Kähler manifolds. In the present article, we use these methods to study complex Monge-Ampère equations with degenerate L.H.S. We first define, in the spirit of [C], [GZ 2], weak solutions to degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations and then prove, using ideas of [K 1,2], that these solutions are continuous:

Theorem A. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold, ω a semi positive $(1,1)$ -form such that $\int_X \omega^n > 0$ and $0 \leq f \in L^p(X, \omega^n)$, $p > 1$, a density such that $\int_X f\omega^n = \int_X \omega^n$. Then there is a unique continuous function φ on X such that $\omega + dd^c\varphi \geq 0$ and*

$$(\omega + dd^c\varphi)^n = f\omega^n \quad \text{with} \quad \sup_X \varphi = -1.$$

Furthermore $f \mapsto \varphi$ is a continuous map from $L^p(X, \omega^n)$ to $C^0(X)$.

When ω has algebraic singularities, then μ can be assumed to have L^p density with respect to the Lebesgue measure. With this \mathcal{C}^0 -estimate, it is possible to adapt classical ideas of [Y] and [Ts] and prove:

Theorem B. *Let X be projective algebraic complex manifold, ω a smooth semi Kähler form that is Kähler outside a complex subvariety $S \subset X$. Let Ω be a Kähler form on X . Assume furthermore that $\omega^n = D\Omega^n$ where $D^{-\epsilon}$ is in $L^1(\Omega^n)$ and that $[\omega], [\Omega] \in NS_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$.*

Let s_1, \dots, s_p (resp. t_1, \dots, t_q) be holomorphic sections of some line bundle. (resp. of some other line bundle). Assume $k, l \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $F \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ are fixed so that

$$\int_X \frac{1}{|t_1|^{2l} + \dots + |t_q|^{2l}} \Omega^n < \infty \text{ and } \int_X \frac{|s_1|^{2k} + \dots + |s_p|^{2k}}{|t_1|^{2l} + \dots + |t_q|^{2l}} e^F \Omega^n = \int_X \Omega^n.$$

Then the unique continuous function φ such that $\omega + dd^c \varphi \geq 0$ and

$$(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = \frac{|s_1|^{2k} + \dots + |s_p|^{2k}}{|t_1|^{2l} + \dots + |t_q|^{2l}} e^F \Omega^n, \text{ with } \sup_X \varphi = -1,$$

is smooth outside $B = S \cup \bigcap_i \{s_i = 0\} \cup \bigcap_i \{t_i = 0\}$.

This result should be compared with [Y], Theorem 8, p. 403. Yau's result is stronger in many respects, most notably in the absence of any projectivity/rationality assumption and a more precise regularity theory. On the other hand, the condition on the poles of the L.H.S. is less optimal than here. We expect the projectivity/rationality assumptions to be superfluous.

Observe that the condition on the singularity in the L.H.S. is precisely the condition that the singular metric associated to $l(t_i)$ has a trivial multiplier ideal sheaf, or if $q = 1$ that the pair $(X, l(t_1))$ is klt (see definition 6.7). The possibility of solving complex Monge-Ampère equations with L^p -R.H.S. was first established by S.Kolodziej [K 1,2], and the connection with the singularities of the Minimal Model Program (MMP for short) has been a strong incentive to our work.

From an algebraic geometer's perspective, these results may be viewed as a version of [Y] for normal Kähler spaces.

As a by-product, S.T.Yau constructed Kähler-Einstein metrics on smooth canonically polarized manifolds and Ricci-flat metrics on what is now known as Calabi-Yau manifolds. It had been soon realized [Ko] that this also yields Kähler-Einstein metrics on Kähler orbifolds hence on the canonical models of surfaces of general type since they have isolated quotient singularities.

In higher dimension, in spite of the development of the MMP during the 1980s – culminating with [Mo] and the proof of the existence of canonical models for general type 3-folds [Ka] –, there was no satisfying analog of these Kähler-Einstein metrics.

For smooth minimal general type projective manifolds, H.Tsuji proved [Ts] that an appropriate Kähler-Ricci flow starting with an arbitrary Kähler datum exists in infinite time, converges towards a current representing the canonical class which is smooth outside the exceptional locus of the map

to the canonical model, and defines a Kähler-Einstein metric there ¹. The conjecture made there that the current has continuous (or even bounded) local potentials partly motivated our work.

The article [Ts] has been revisited in two recent preprints, [CN] and [TZ], we learnt of when finishing the present work, where a very satisfactory proof of convergence towards a current with bounded potentials is given. The independent work [TZ] uses a slightly weaker version of Theorem A and does not give any detail on the proof. On the other hand, the three approaches tend to emphasize different aspects of the problem and seem to complement each other nicely.

In this article we give a more general theory of singular Kähler-Einstein metrics as a consequence of the following result:

Theorem C. *Let (V, Δ) be a projective klt pair such that $K_V + \Delta$ is an ample \mathbb{Q} -divisor. Then there is a unique semi-Kähler current in $[K_V + \Delta]$ with continuous potentials, which satisfies a global degenerate Monge-Ampère equation on V and defines a smooth Kähler-Einstein metric of negative curvature on $(V - \Delta)^{reg}$.*

Let (V, Δ) be a projective klt pair such that $K_V + \Delta \cong 0$ (\mathbb{Q} -linear equivalence of \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisors). Then in every ample class in $NS_{\mathbb{R}}(V)$ there is a unique semi-Kähler current with continuous potentials, which satisfies a global degenerate Monge-Ampère equation on V and defines a Ricci flat metric on $(V - \Delta)^{reg}$.

The precise formulation and Monge-Ampère equations are to be found in Theorems 7.5 and 7.8 below.

Corollary D. *Let X be a projective threefold of general type and $V = X_{can}$ the unique model of X with only canonical singularities and K_V ample. Then K_V contains a unique singular Kähler-Einstein metric ω_{KE} of negative curvature.*

Note that we do not assume the singularities to be quotient singularities nor that X has a smooth minimal model (a strong restriction present in [Ts], [TZ], [CN]). On the other hand if $\pi : X \rightarrow X_{can}$ is a resolution of singularities then $[\pi^*\omega_{KE}] \cong K_X + F$ where $F \geq 0$ and $= 0$ iff π is crepant and X is a smooth minimal model. If the MMP is confirmed to work in higher dimension, then Corollary D extends there as well. In dimension 4, the MMP seems to work [Sho] but few people claim they understand this deep work in its manifold aspects. There is an active scientific project led by A.Corti aiming at making Shokurov's work more accessible [Cor]. In higher dimension, substantial progress has been recently announced in [HMCK], Shokurov's ideas being a major ingredient.

One may also try and construct these singular KE metrics unconditionally in an approach to the finiteness of the canonical ring [Siu 2]. Needless to say, it is a substantially harder task.

¹Although his idea was rather compelling, the details of the proof for convergence were somewhat hard to follow.

NOTATIONS AND ORGANIZATION OF THE PAPER

In the whole paper, X will denote a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n , ω a smooth closed form of bidegree $(1, 1)$ which is non-negative and *big*, i.e. the smooth measure ω^n is not identical to zero. For convenience we normalize ω so that

$$\text{Vol}_\omega(X) := \int_X \omega^n = 1.$$

V will denote a normal complex space. A resolution of V will be a projective bimeromorphic holomorphic morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow V$, X being smooth, such that $\pi : \pi^{-1}(V^{\text{reg}}) \rightarrow V^{\text{reg}}$ is an isomorphism. A resolution π is a log-resolution iff $\pi^{-1}(V^{\text{sing}})$ is a divisor with simple normal crossings. Assume we have a coherent ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_V$. A log resolution of (V, \mathcal{I}) is a projective bimeromorphic holomorphic morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow V$ X being smooth, such that $\pi : \pi^{-1}(V - Z(\mathcal{I}))^{\text{reg}} \rightarrow (V - Z(\mathcal{I}))^{\text{reg}}$ is an isomorphism with the additional property that the ideal sheaf $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{I} \cdot \mathcal{O}_X^{-2}$ satisfies $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{I} \cdot \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-\sum \gamma_E E) \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ where $\gamma_E \in \mathbb{N}$ is a positive integer attached to any exceptional divisor E of π .

A pair is a pair (V, Δ) with V a normal complex space and Δ a \mathbb{Q} -Weil divisor $\Delta = \sum_i d_i E_i$ where $0 \leq d_i \leq 1$ are rational numbers and $(E_i)_i$ is a finite family of pairwise distinct irreducible codimension 1 subvarieties of V . A log resolution of a pair is a log resolution of the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{N\Delta}$ where N is an integer such that $Nd_i \in \mathbb{N}$.³

All these flavors of log-resolutions exist by [Hi] if the variety (resp. pair) under consideration is open in (resp. a restriction to an open subset of) a compact variety (pair).

The paper is organized as follows. In *section 1* we define, following [GZ 2], the set $\mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ of ω -psh functions with finite self-energy, and produce weak solutions to complex Monge-Ampère equations $(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = \mu$ in the class $\mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ (see proposition 1.4). This is our first basic observation: weak solutions are easy to produce in $\mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$.

The continuity of the solutions is established in *section 2* (see Theorem 2.1), by using ideas from [K 1,2,3] and [GZ 1]. This, together with propositions 3.1 and 3.3, yields Theorem A. We actually expect the solutions to be Hölder-continuous, as Theorem 3.5 indicates. We indeed establish further regularity results in *section 3*, especially Theorem 3.6, by using ideas of [Y],[Ts]. This yields Theorem B.

In *section 4* we solve Monge-Ampère equations of the type $(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = e^{t\varphi} \mu$, $t > 0$ (see Theorems 4.1, 4.4) by a fixed point method. Here again the use of class $\mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ makes life easier, and allows us to reduce our analysis to previously studied Monge-Ampère equations $(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = \mu'$.

² $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{I} \cdot \mathcal{O}_X$ is locally the ideal sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X generated by the family of holomorphic functions $(\pi^* f_I)_I$, where $(f_I)_I$ are local generators of \mathcal{I} . The set $Z(\mathcal{I})$ is the analytic subvariety defined by \mathcal{I} .

³The MMP is conjectured to work for pairs. This seemingly technical extension of the MMP is known as log-MMP. log-MMP works in dimension ≤ 3 . The philosophy of the log-MMP is to define the canonical divisor of a pair to be $K_{(V, \Delta)} := K_V + \Delta$ and to try and prove the same theorems for pairs and for varieties.

In *section 5* we recall some basic facts on some of the singularities encountered in the MMP, and in *section 6* we explain what sort of measures μ we need to consider in order to produce Kähler-Einstein metrics. An important observation is lemma 6.4, which shows that it is necessary to restrict to the case of log terminal singularities (see definition 5.3).

Finally in *section 7* we show how our results from sections 2,3,4 allow us to produce singular Kähler-Einstein metrics (see Theorems 7.5, 7.8, 7.12). This is where we prove Theorem C.

1. WEAK SOLUTIONS TO MONGE-AMPÈRE EQUATIONS

For any Kähler form Ω on X and for all $\varepsilon > 0$, the form $\omega_\varepsilon := \omega + \varepsilon\Omega$ is again Kähler on X . We are going to extend several results that are known to hold true when ω is Kähler to the more general setting of *semi-positive* and *big* forms.

Recall that the set of ω -plurisubharmonic functions (ω -psh for short) is

$$PSH(X, \omega) := \{\varphi \in L^1(X, \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}) / dd^c \varphi \geq -\omega \text{ and } \varphi \text{ is u.s.c.}\}.$$

We refer the reader to [GZ 1] for basic properties of ω -psh functions. The following subclass has been extensively studied in [GZ 2]:

Definition 1.1. *We let $\mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ denote the set of ω -psh functions with finite self-energy: this is the set of functions $\varphi \in PSH(X, \omega)$ for which there exists a sequence $\varphi_j \in PSH(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ such that*

$$\varphi_j \searrow \varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_j \int_X (-\varphi_j)(\omega + dd^c \varphi_j)^n < +\infty.$$

This class of functions is studied in [GZ 2] when ω is a Kähler form. We leave it to the reader to check that the basic properties of this class of functions proved in [GZ 2] when ω is Kähler apply with no modification to the case where ω is merely *semi-positive* and *big*. In particular the complex Monge-Ampère operator $(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n$ is well-defined for $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$, and it is continuous on decreasing sequences of functions in $\mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$.

We shall need a slightly more general continuity result, which takes into account the dependence in ω :

Proposition 1.2. *Fix Ω a Kähler form on X , and let (ε_j) be a sequence of positive real numbers decreasing to zero. Let $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega + \varepsilon_j \Omega)$ be a sequence of functions which decrease pointwise towards φ , and such that*

$$\sup_{j \geq 1} \int_X |\varphi_j|(\omega + \varepsilon_j \Omega + dd^c \varphi_j)^n < +\infty.$$

Then $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$, and $(\omega + \varepsilon_j \Omega + dd^c \varphi_j)^n \rightarrow (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n$.

Proof. Set $\omega_j := \omega + \varepsilon_j \Omega$. We can assume w.l.o.g. that $\varphi_j \leq \varphi \leq 0$. Set

$$\varphi^K := \max(\varphi, -K) \in PSH(X, \omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_j^K := \max(\varphi_j, -K) \in PSH(X, \omega_j).$$

Observe that, K being fixed, $(\varphi_j^K)_j$ is uniformly bounded and decreases towards φ^K as j goes to infinity. Therefore $(\omega_j + dd^c \varphi_j^K)^n \rightarrow (\omega + dd^c \varphi^K)^n$,

by a classical result of E. Bedford and A. Taylor [BT 82]. Moreover the sequence of positive measures $(-\varphi_j^K)(\omega_j + dd^c\varphi_j^K)^n$ has uniformly bounded mass, since by lemma 7.2 in [GZ 2],

$$0 \leq \int_X (-\varphi_j^K)(\omega_j + dd^c\varphi_j^K)^n \leq 2^n \int_X (-\varphi_j)(\omega_j + dd^c\varphi_j)^n \leq 2^n M,$$

where $M := \sup_j \int_X (-\varphi_j)(\omega_j + dd^c\varphi_j)^n < +\infty$.

Since φ_j is u.s.c., a standard argument yields that any cluster point ν of the sequence $(-\varphi_j^K)(\omega_j + dd^c\varphi_j^K)^n$ satisfies $0 \leq (-\varphi^K)(\omega + dd^c\varphi^K)^n \leq \nu$. In particular

$$0 \leq \int_X (-\varphi^K)(\omega + dd^c\varphi^K)^n \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_X (-\varphi_j^K)(\omega_j + dd^c\varphi_j^K)^n \leq 2^n M$$

is bounded from above uniformly with respect to K . Since φ^K decreases towards φ , this shows $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$.

It remains to show that $(\omega_j + dd^c\varphi_j)^n \rightarrow (\omega + dd^c\varphi)^n$. Since $(\omega_j + dd^c\varphi_j^K)^n \rightarrow (\omega + dd^c\varphi^K)^n$ for any fixed K , it is enough to get an upper bound on the mass of $(\omega_j + dd^c\varphi_j^K)^n$ in $(\varphi_j \leq -K)$ which is uniform in j . This follows from Chebyshev inequality, namely

$$\int_{(\varphi_j \leq -K)} (\omega_j + dd^c\varphi_j)^n \leq \frac{1}{K} \int_X (-\varphi_j)(\omega_j + dd^c\varphi_j)^n \leq \frac{M}{K}.$$

This yields the desired result. \square

The Monge-Ampère capacity $Cap_\omega(\cdot)$ has been studied in [GZ 1],

$$Cap_\omega(K) := \sup \left\{ \int_K \omega_u^n / u \in PSH(X, \omega), 0 \leq u \leq 1 \right\},$$

where K is a Borel subset of X . Here – and in the sequel – we use the notation $\omega_u := \omega + dd^c u \geq 0$. In this article we are interested in measures which are dominated by the Monge-Ampère capacity in the following way:

Definition 1.3. *A probability measure μ on X satisfies condition $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, A, \omega)$ if for all Borel subset K of X ,*

$$\mu(K) \leq ACap_\omega(K)^{1+\alpha}.$$

It has been shown by S. Kolodziej that when ω is Kähler, a probability measure μ which satisfies $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, A, \omega)$ can be written as the Monge-Ampère measure of some continuous ω -psh function. This is still true when ω is merely *semi-positive* and *big*, and the proof will occupy us until the end of section 2. We start by observing – following [GZ 2] – that μ is the Monge-Ampère of a function φ which is not too singular.

Proposition 1.4. *Let μ be a probability measure on X which satisfies condition $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, A, \omega)$. Then there exists a unique function $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ s.t.*

$$\mu = (\omega + dd^c\varphi)^n \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_X \varphi = -1.$$

Proof. Fix Ω a Kähler form on X , and set $\omega_j := \omega + \varepsilon_j \Omega$, where $\varepsilon_j > 0$ decreases to 0. We start by showing that $\mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega_j) \subset L^1(\mu)$.

Fix $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega_j)$. We can assume without loss of generality that $\sup_X \varphi = -1$. It follows from propositions 3.6 and 2.7 in [GZ 1] that there exists a constant $C = C(\omega, \Omega) > 0$ independent of j such that $\text{Cap}_{\omega_j}(\varphi < -t) \leq C/t$ for all $t > 0$. Since $\text{Cap}_{\omega}(\cdot) \leq \text{Cap}_{\omega_j}(\cdot)$, the measure μ satisfies $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, A, \omega_j)$. We infer

$$(1) \quad 0 \leq \int_X (-\varphi) d\mu = \int_{t=1}^{+\infty} \mu(\varphi < -t) dt \leq \frac{AC^{1+\alpha}}{\alpha} < +\infty,$$

with an upper-bound which is independent of j .

The main result in [GZ 2] guarantees in this case that there exists a unique function $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega_j)$ such that

$$(\omega_j + dd^c \varphi_j)^n = \lambda_j \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_X \varphi_j = -1,$$

where $\lambda_j = \int_X (\omega + \varepsilon_j \Omega)^n > 1$ decreases to 1 as j goes to infinity.

The normalization $\sup_X \varphi_j = -1$ implies that the sequence (φ_j) is relatively compact in $L^1(X)$ (see proposition 2.7 in [GZ 1]). Let φ be a cluster point of (φ_j) . Relabelling if necessary, we assume $\varphi_j \rightarrow \varphi$ in $L^1(X)$. Note that $\varphi \in PSH(X, \omega)$ and $\sup_X \varphi = -1$ (by Hartogs' lemma, see proposition 2.7, [GZ 1]). We are going to show that $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ and $\omega_\varphi^n = \mu$.

Set $\Phi_j := (\sup_{l \geq j} \varphi_l)^*$, where u^* denotes the upper-semi-continuous regularization of u . Then $\Phi_j \in PSH(X, \omega_j)$ with $\Phi_j \geq \varphi_j$, hence $\Phi_j \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega_j)$ (see proposition 3.2 in [GZ 2]), and Φ_j decreases towards φ . For $l \geq j$, we have

$$(\omega_j + dd^c \varphi_l)^n \geq (\omega_l + dd^c \varphi_l)^n = \lambda_l \mu \geq \mu.$$

It follows therefore from an inequality due to J.-P. Demailly [Dem 1] that $(\omega_j + dd^c \Phi_j)^n \geq \mu$. Now by (1) and lemma 7.2 in [GZ 2],

$$0 \leq \int_X (-\Phi_j)(\omega_j + dd^c \Phi_j)^n \leq 2^n \int_X (-\varphi_j)(\omega_j + dd^c \varphi_j)^n = 2^n \lambda_j \int_X (-\varphi_j) d\mu,$$

is uniformly bounded with respect to j thanks to (1).

We infer from proposition 1.2 that $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ and $(\omega_j + dd^c \Phi_j)^n \rightarrow (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n$. Thus $(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n \geq \mu$, but these are two probability measures, whence $\mu = (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n$. The uniqueness of φ follows from Theorem 7.4, [GZ 2]. \square

Remark 1.5. *It follows from the work of S.Kolodziej [K 1,2,3] that the φ_j 's are actually continuous functions. Proving however that $\varphi = \lim \varphi_j$ is continuous is a difficult task and is the goal of the next section.*

2. CONTINUOUS SOLUTIONS

The goal of this section is to prove the following result:

Theorem 2.1. *Let μ be a probability measure on X which satisfies condition $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, A, \omega)$. Then there exists a unique continuous function $\varphi \in PSH(X, \omega)$ such that*

$$\mu = (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_X \varphi = -1.$$

Moreover $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(X)} \leq C$, where C only depends on α, A and ω .

It follows from proposition 1.4 that we already know the existence of a unique solution $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ to this Monge-Ampère equation. We need to show it is continuous. The following result is the key to everything to follow.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ be two negative functions. Then for all $s > 0$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$,*

$$t^n \text{Cap}_\omega(\varphi - \psi < -s - t) \leq \int_{(\varphi - \psi < -s - t\psi)} \omega_\varphi^n.$$

Proof. Fix $u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ with $0 \leq u \leq 1$. For $\delta > 0$ we set $t = \delta/(1 + \delta)$. Observe that $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and

$$\{\varphi - \psi < -s - t\} \subset \{\varphi < \frac{\psi + \delta u}{1 + \delta} - s - t\} \subset \{\varphi - \psi < -s - t\psi\}.$$

Set $\tilde{\varphi} := (\psi + \delta u)/(1 + \delta) - s - t \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$. Observe that

$$t^n \int_{(\varphi - \psi < -s - t)} \omega_u^n \leq \int_{(\varphi - \psi < -s - t)} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \delta} \omega_\psi + \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} \omega_u \right]^n \leq \int_{(\varphi < \tilde{\varphi})} [\omega + dd^c \tilde{\varphi}]^n.$$

It follows from the comparison principle in class $\mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$, that

$$\int_{(\varphi < \tilde{\varphi})} [\omega + dd^c \tilde{\varphi}]^n \leq \int_{(\varphi < \tilde{\varphi})} [\omega + dd^c \varphi]^n \leq \int_{(\varphi - \psi < -s - t\psi)} [\omega + dd^c \varphi]^n.$$

Taking the supremum over all u 's yields the desired result. \square

We will also need the following elementary observation:

Lemma 2.3. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a decreasing right-continuous function such that $\lim_{+\infty} f = 0$. Assume there exists $\alpha, B > 0$ such that f satisfies*

$$H(\alpha, B) \quad tf(s + t) \leq B[f(s)]^{1+\alpha}, \quad \forall s > 0, \forall 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Then there exists $S_\infty = S_\infty(\alpha, B) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $f(s) = 0$ for all $s \geq S_\infty$.

Proof. Fix $s_0 > 0$ large enough so that $f(s_0)^\alpha < 1/2B$. We define a sequence $(s_j) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{N}}$ by induction in the following way. If $f(s_0) = 0$ we stop here, otherwise we set

$$s_1 := \sup \left\{ s > s_0 / f(s) > \frac{1}{2}f(s_0) \right\}.$$

Observe that $s_1 \leq 1 + s_0$ thanks to $H(\alpha, B)$ and by definition of s_0 .

Since f is right-continuous we get $f(s_1) \leq f(s_0)/2$. If $f(s_1) = 0$ we stop here, otherwise we go on by induction, setting

$$s_{j+1} := \sup \left\{ s > s_j / f(s) > \frac{1}{2}f(s_j) \right\}.$$

At each step $f(s_{j+1}) \leq f(s_j)/2$ and $s_{j+1} \leq 1 + s_j$. However the sequence (s_j) does not grow too fast. It follows indeed from $H(\alpha, B)$ that if $s \in]s_j, s_{j+1}[$,

$$(s - s_j)f(s) \leq Bf(s_j)^{1+\alpha} \leq 2Bf(s)f(s_j)^\alpha,$$

since $f(s_j)/2 \leq f(s) \leq f(s_j)$ on the interval $[s_j, s_{j+1}]$. We infer

$$s_{j+1} - s_j \leq 2Bf(s_j)^\alpha \leq 2B2^{-j\alpha}f(s_0)^\alpha \leq 2^{-j\alpha}.$$

Thus the sequence (s_j) is bounded from above, with limit

$$S_\infty = s_0 + \sum_{j \geq 0} (s_{j+1} - s_j) \leq s_0 + \frac{2Bf(s_0)^\alpha}{1 - 2^{-\alpha}} \leq s_0 + \frac{1}{1 - 2^{-\alpha}}.$$

□

Remarks 2.4. Observe that the starting time $s_0(f, \alpha, B)$ is invariant under dilatation $f \mapsto \lambda f$, which transforms B into B/λ^α . Note also that if $f(0)^\alpha < 1/2B$, then we can take $s_0 = 0$, hence we get in this case

$$S_\infty \leq \frac{2B}{1 - 2^{-\alpha}} [f(0)]^\alpha.$$

To see how previous lemmas can be used, we first prove that the unique solution $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ given by proposition 1.4 is bounded.

A uniform bound on the solution. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ be the unique function such that $\mu = (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n$ and $\sup_X \varphi = -1$. Set

$$f(s) := [Cap_\omega(\varphi < -s)]^{1/n}.$$

Observe that $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a right-continuous decreasing function with $\lim_{+\infty} f = 0$. Since $\mu = \omega_\varphi^n$ satisfies $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, A, \omega)$, it follows from lemma 2.2 applied to the function $\psi \equiv 0$ that f satisfies $H(\alpha, B)$ with $B = A^{1/n}$.

It follows from propositions 2.7 and 3.6 in [GZ 1] that $f(s) \leq C_1/s^{1/n}$ for some constant C_1 which only depends on ω . We can thus take a starting time $s_0 = 2^{n/\alpha} C_1^n A^{1/\alpha}$ (see the proof of lemma 2.3) and get $f(s) = 0$ for $s \geq S_\infty := s_0 + (1 - 2^{-\alpha})^{-1}$: this shows that the sets $(\varphi < -s)$ are empty if $s \geq S_\infty$, hence

$$(2) \quad \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(X)} \leq 2^{n/\alpha} C_1^n A^{1/\alpha} + \frac{1}{1 - 2^{-\alpha}}.$$

We are now going to use a refinement of the previous reasoning in order to show that φ is actually continuous.

Proposition 2.5. Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ be two negative functions and fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Assume $\omega_\varphi^n = \mu$ satisfies $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, A, \omega)$ and ψ is bounded. There exists $C = C(\alpha, A, \omega, \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(X)}) > 0$ such that

$$\sup_X (\psi - \varphi) \leq \varepsilon + C [Cap_\omega(\varphi - \psi < -\varepsilon)]^{\alpha/n}.$$

This inequality can be interpreted as follows. Assume ψ is also a solution to a Monge-Ampère equation $\omega_\psi^n = \mu'$, where μ' also satisfies $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, A, \omega)$. Then we can interchange the roles of φ and ψ and get an upper-bound on $\|\varphi - \psi\|_{L^\infty(X)}$. The proposition then tells us that if φ and ψ are close in capacity, they are close in C^0 -norm.

Proof. Set $M := \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(X)}$. Observe that when $t \geq 0$, $(\varphi - \psi < -s - t\psi) \subset (\varphi - \psi < -s + tM)$, hence it follows from lemma 2.2 that for all $s > 0$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$,

$$(3) \quad t^n Cap_\omega(\varphi - \psi < -s - t) \leq (1 + M)^n \int_{(\varphi - \psi < -s)} \omega_\varphi^n,$$

using the obvious substitutions $s \mapsto s - Mt$, $t \mapsto (1 + M)t$. Since $\mu = \omega_\varphi^n$ satisfies $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, A, \omega)$, we infer

$$t^n \text{Cap}_\omega(\varphi - \psi < -s - t) \leq A(1 + M)^n \text{Cap}_\omega(\varphi - \psi < -s)^{1+\alpha}.$$

Consider

$$f(s) := [\text{Cap}_\omega(\varphi - \psi < -s - \varepsilon)]^{1/n}, \quad s > 0.$$

Then f satisfies the condition $H(\alpha, B)$ of lemma 2.3 with $B = (1 + M)A^{1/n}$. Assume $f(0) = [\text{Cap}_\omega(\varphi - \psi < -\varepsilon)]^{1/n} < \frac{1}{(2B)^{1/\alpha}}$. It follows in this case from Remarks 2.4 that $f(s) = 0$ for $s \geq S_\infty$, where

$$S_\infty \leq \frac{2B}{1 - 2^{-\alpha}} [\text{Cap}_\omega(\varphi - \psi < -\varepsilon)]^{\alpha/n}$$

Therefore the sets $\{\varphi - \psi < -s - \varepsilon\}$ are empty for $s > S_\infty$, hence

$$\sup_X(\psi - \varphi) \leq \varepsilon + S_\infty \leq \varepsilon + \frac{2(1 + M)A^{1/n}}{1 - 2^{-\alpha}} [\text{Cap}_\omega(\varphi - \psi < -\varepsilon)]^{\alpha/n}.$$

Thus we can take here $C \geq 2B/(1 - 2^{-\alpha})$.

If $f(0) = [\text{Cap}_\omega(\varphi - \psi < -\varepsilon)]^{1/n} \geq (2B)^{-1/\alpha}$, then

$$\sup_X(\psi - \varphi) \leq -\inf_X \varphi \leq C_2(\alpha, A, \omega),$$

by (2), hence it suffices to take $C \geq 2BC_2$ to conclude. \square

Proof of theorem 2.1 Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ be the unique solution to the normalized Monge-Ampère equation $\mu = (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n$, $\sup_X \varphi = -1$ (see proposition 1.4). It follows from (2) that φ is bounded.

Since ω is *semi-positive* and *big*, it follows from a result of J.P.Demailly (see [BK] for an elementary proof) that one can approximate any ω -psh function by a decreasing sequence of smooth ω -psh functions. Let φ_j be such an approximating sequence for φ . Since $\sup_X \varphi = -1$, we can assume $\varphi_j \leq 0$. Since φ is bounded and $\varphi_j \geq \varphi$, the functions φ_j are uniformly bounded on X .

Observe that φ_j converges towards φ in capacity (see proposition 3.7 in [GZ 1]), hence $\lim \text{Cap}_\omega(\varphi - \varphi_j < -\varepsilon) = 0$, for all $\varepsilon > 0$. It follows therefore from proposition 2.5 (applied with $\psi = \varphi_j$) that for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \|\varphi - \varphi_j\|_{L^\infty(X)} = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_X(\varphi_j - \varphi) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Thus (φ_j) converges uniformly towards φ , hence φ is continuous. \square

3. MORE REGULARITY

3.1. Measures with density. We now turn to the study of the complex Monge-Ampère equation

$$(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = \mu, \quad \text{when } \mu = f\omega^n$$

is a measure with density $0 \leq f \in L^p(\omega^n)$, $p > 1$.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume $\mu = f\omega^n$ is a probability measure with density $0 \leq f \in L^p(X)$, for some $p > 1$. Then for any $\alpha > 0$, there exists $A_\alpha > 0$ such that μ satisfies $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, A_\alpha, \omega)$.*

Proof. It is enough to establish $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, A_\alpha, \omega)$ for compact subsets, by regularity of μ and Cap_ω . Let K be a compact subset of X . It follows from Hölder's inequality that

$$0 \leq \mu(K) \leq \|f\|_{L^p(\omega^n)} [\text{Vol}_\omega(K)]^{1/q},$$

where $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Note that $\|f\|_{L^p(\omega^n)} = 1$ since we assume μ is a probability measure. We claim that

$$(4) \quad \text{Vol}_\omega(K) \leq C_\omega \exp \left[-(Cap_\omega(K))^{-\gamma_\omega/n} \right],$$

for some constants $C_\omega, \gamma_\omega > 0$ that only depend on ω . We will be done if we can prove (4) since we can then check by elementary computations that $\exp(-x^{-\delta})$ is dominated from above by $A_\alpha x^\alpha$, for all $x \in [0, 1]$.

The set of functions $\mathcal{F}_0 := \{\varphi \in PSH(X, \omega) / \sup_X \varphi = 0\}$ is compact in $L^1(X)$ (see proposition 2.7, [GZ 1]). These functions have Lelong numbers $\nu(\varphi, x) \leq \nu_\omega$ bounded from above by a uniform constant. It follows therefore from Skoda's uniform integrability theorem [Z], that

$$\sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_0} \int \exp \left[-\frac{2\varphi}{\nu_\omega + 1} \right] \omega^n \leq C_2 < +\infty.$$

Set $\gamma_\omega := 2/(\nu_\omega + 1) > 0$ and let

$$V_{K,\omega}^*(x) := (\sup\{\varphi(x) / \varphi \in PSH(X, \omega), \varphi \leq 0 \text{ on } K\})^*$$

denote the Siciak extremal function of K (see section 5.1 in [GZ 1]). Then

$$\text{Vol}_\omega(K) \leq \int_X \exp(-\gamma_\omega V_{K,\omega}^*) \omega^n \leq C_2 T_\omega(K)^{\gamma_\omega},$$

where $T_\omega(K) := \exp(-\sup_X V_{K,\omega}^*)$ denote the Alexander capacity of K (see section 5.2 in [GZ 2]). It follows now from theorem 7.1 in [GZ 1] that

$$T_\omega(K) \leq e \exp \left[-Cap_\omega(K)^{-1/n} \right],$$

which yields (4). □

It follows therefore from theorem 2.1 that there exists a unique continuous function $\varphi \in PSH(X, \omega)$ such that

$$\mu = f\omega^n = (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n, \text{ with } \sup_X \varphi = -1,$$

when $0 \leq f \in L^p(\omega^n)$, $p > 1$, with $\int_X f\omega^n = 1$.

Actually we will be interested in measures with L^p -density with respect to a positive definite volume form $d\lambda$, while the smooth measure ω^n may vanish along a divisor. This does not make much difference, as follows from Hölder's inequality:

Lemma 3.2. *Let V be a n -dimensional compact normal Kähler space and Ω be a smooth Kähler form on V . Let $\pi : X \rightarrow V$ a resolution, $\omega = \pi^*\Omega$, and let $d\lambda$ be a positive definite smooth volume form on X .*

If $\mu = f_1 d\lambda$, with $f_1 \in L^p(X, d\lambda)$ for some $p > 1$, then there exists $p' > 1$ such that $\mu = f\omega^n$ and $f \in L^{p'}(X, \omega^n)$.

Proof. Observe that $\omega^n = Ed\lambda$ for some smooth density $E \geq 0$ which vanishes along the exceptional divisor of π , thus

$$\mu = f_1 d\lambda = f\omega^n, \text{ where } f = f_1/E.$$

Fix local coordinates $(z^i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ on a polydisk $\mathbb{D} \subset X$ and a local embedding $F : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$. Note that E is comparable to $|\frac{\partial F}{\partial z^1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial F}{\partial z^1}|^2 \simeq \sum_{i=1}^r |f_i|^2$, f_i being holomorphic on \mathbb{D} . Therefore $E \in L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and $E^{-\alpha} \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{D}, d\lambda)$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Choose $0 < \alpha' < \alpha$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\alpha'}$. Then $f^{\alpha'} = f_1^{\alpha'} E^{-\alpha'}$ is the product of a function in $L^{p/\alpha'}(d\lambda)$ and a function in $L^{\alpha/\alpha'}(d\lambda)$, hence it is in $L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{D}, d\lambda)$ by Hölder's inequality. A second application of Hölder's inequality yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} f^{1+\varepsilon} \omega^n = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f^\varepsilon f_1 d\lambda \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} f^{\varepsilon q} d\lambda \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} f_1^p d\lambda \right)^{1/p} < +\infty,$$

where q denotes the conjugate exponent to p . This shows that $f \in L^{p'}(\omega^n)$ if $p' = 1 + \varepsilon > 1$ is chosen so small that $\varepsilon q < \alpha'$. \square

3.2. Hölder continuity.

Proposition 3.3. *Assume $\omega_\varphi^n = f\omega^n$, $\omega_\psi = g\omega^n$, where $\varphi, \psi \in PSH(X, \omega)$ are continuous and $f, g \in L^p(\omega^n)$, $p > 1$. Then for all $0 < \gamma < 2/(2 + nq)$,*

$$\|\varphi - \psi\|_{L^\infty(X)} \leq C \|\varphi - \psi\|_{L^2(\omega^n)}^\gamma,$$

where $q = p/(p-1)$ denotes the conjugate exponent to p .

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ to be chosen later. It follows from (2) and propositions 2.5, 3.1 that

$$\|\varphi - \psi\|_{L^\infty(X)} \leq \varepsilon + C_1 [Cap_\omega(|\varphi - \psi| > \varepsilon)]^{\alpha/n}.$$

Applying the refined version of lemma 2.2 which involves the uniform bound on $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(X)}, \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(X)}$ (see inequality (3)), we obtain

$$Cap_\omega(|\varphi - \psi| > \varepsilon) \leq \frac{C_2}{\varepsilon^{n+2/q}} \int_X |\varphi - \psi|^{2/q} (f + g) \omega^n.$$

It follows thus from Hölder's inequality that

$$Cap_\omega(|\varphi - \psi| > \varepsilon) \leq \frac{C_3 \|f + g\|_{L^p}}{\varepsilon^{n+2/q}} [\|\varphi - \psi\|_{L^2(\omega^n)}]^{2/q}.$$

Choose now $\varepsilon := \|\varphi - \psi\|_{L^2}^\alpha$ where $0 < \gamma < 2/(2 + nq)$. Then

$$Cap_\omega(|\varphi - \psi| > \varepsilon) \leq C_4 [\|\varphi - \psi\|_{L^2}]^{2/q - \gamma(n+2/q)}.$$

We infer

$$\|\varphi - \psi\|_{L^\infty(X)} \leq \|\varphi - \psi\|_{L^2}^\gamma + C_5 \|\varphi - \psi\|_{L^2}^{\gamma'}, \text{ where } \gamma' = \frac{\alpha}{n} [2/q - \gamma(n+2/q)].$$

We finally choose $\alpha > 0$ so large that $\gamma \leq \gamma'$ and adjust the value of the constant C : this yields the desired estimate. \square

Being able to control the L^∞ -norm of $\varphi - \psi$ by its L^2 -norm is a powerful tool. If for instance $\psi = \varphi_j$, φ satisfy the assumptions of proposition 3.2 – with φ_j being uniformly bounded –, and $\varphi_j \rightarrow \varphi$ in L^1 , then $\varphi_j \rightarrow \varphi$ in $L^2(\omega^n)$, hence (φ_j) actually uniformly converges towards φ . This yields the continuity of the map

$$f \in L^p(\omega^n) \mapsto \varphi \in \mathcal{C}^0(X),$$

where φ is the unique ω -psh solution to $(\omega + dd^c\varphi)^n = f\omega^n$, $\sup_X \varphi = -1$. Thus Theorem A is proved.

We now give an application of this estimate, which is new even when the form ω is Kähler, but requires the manifold X to be *homogeneous*, i.e. such that its group of holomorphic automorphisms acts transitively on it.⁴

Theorem 3.4. *Assume X is a homogeneous manifold. If $\mu = f\omega^n$ is a probability measure with density $0 \leq f \in L^p(\omega^n)$, $p > 1$, then the unique solution $\varphi \in PSH(X, \omega) \in \mathcal{C}^0(X)$ to the normalized Monge-Ampère equation*

$$(\omega + dd^c\varphi)^n = \mu = f\omega^n, \sup_X \varphi = -1,$$

is Hölder continuous of exponent $\gamma > 0$, for all $\gamma < 2/(2 + nq)$, where $q = p/(p - 1)$ is the conjugate exponent to p .

Proof. When $Aut(X)$, the group of holomorphic automorphisms of X , acts transitively on X , one can regularize ω -psh functions by averaging over the Haar measure of the connected component of the identity of $Aut(X)$. This is very similar to the way one regularizes psh functions in \mathbb{C}^n by using convolutions with an approximation of the identity for the convolution product. We refer the reader to [Hu] and the Appendix of [G] for more details.

Let φ_h be the ω -psh function which is the translate of φ by an automorphism which is at distance h from identity. We use the notation φ_h by analogy with the \mathbb{C}^n -situation, where $\varphi_h(x) = \varphi(x + h)$. Since φ is bounded, it has gradient in L^2 , hence

$$\|\varphi_h - \varphi\|_{L^2} \leq C|h|,$$

by using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in a local chart. We can thus apply proposition 3.2 to obtain that

$$\|\varphi_h - \varphi\|_{L^\infty} \leq C'|h|^\gamma,$$

for all $\gamma < 2/(2 + nq)$. Since $\varphi_h(x) \simeq \varphi(x + h)$ in a local chart, this precisely means that φ is Hölder-continuous of exponent γ . \square

3.3. Regularity on the smooth locus.

Theorem 3.5. *Let X be projective algebraic complex manifold, ω_0 a smooth semi Kähler form that is Kähler outside a complex subvariety $S \subset X$, and fix Ω be a Kähler form on X . Assume that $\omega_0^n = D\Omega^n$, where $D^{-\varepsilon}$ is in $L^1(\Omega^n)$, and that $[\omega_0], [\Omega] \in NS_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$.*

⁴In particular, the cohomology class of ω is Kähler and ω itself can be supposed to be Kähler without loss of generality.

Let s_1, \dots, s_p (resp. t_1, \dots, t_q) be holomorphic sections of some line bundle L (resp L') on X . Fix $k \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, $l \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $F \in C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$. Assume that

$$\int_X \frac{1}{|t_1|^{2l} + \dots + |t_q|^{2l}} \Omega^n < \infty \text{ and } \int_X \frac{|s_1|^{2k} + \dots + |s_p|^{2k}}{|t_1|^{2l} + \dots + |t_q|^{2l}} e^F \Omega^n = \int_X \Omega^n.$$

Then the unique continuous function $\varphi \in PSH(X, \omega_0)$ such that

$$(\omega_0 + dd^c \varphi)^n = \frac{|s_1|^{2k} + \dots + |s_p|^{2k}}{|t_1|^{2l} + \dots + |t_q|^{2l}} e^F \Omega^n \text{ and } \sup_X \varphi = -1$$

is smooth outside $B = S \cup \cap_i \{s_i = 0\} \cup \cap_i \{t_i = 0\}$.

Remark 3.6. This result should be compared with [Y], Theorem 8. Yau's result is stronger in many respects (there is no projectivity/rationality assumption and it gives a more precise regularity theory); on the other hand the conditions on the poles of the L.H.S. is less optimal than here.

We expect the projectivity/rationality assumptions to be superfluous. We also expect that a finer regularity theory might be developed for singular KE metrics depending on a finer analysis of the klt singularities involved.

The rest of this subsection will be devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.5. For the reader's convenience, we will treat two special cases before tackling the general case ⁵

Preliminary considerations. Thanks to Lemma 3.2 – here we use that $D^{-\varepsilon} \in L^1$ – and Theorem 2.1, for every $t \in [0, 1]$ there is a unique continuous function $\varphi_t \in PSH(X, \omega_0 + t\Omega)$ such that

$$(\omega_0 + t\Omega + dd^c \varphi_t)^n = C_t \frac{|s_1|^{2k} + \dots + |s_p|^{2k}}{|t_1|^{2l} + \dots + |t_q|^{2l}} e^F \Omega^n \text{ and } \sup_X \varphi_t = -1,$$

where $C_t > 0$ is an adequate normalisation constant and $\|\varphi_t\|_{C^0(X)}$ is uniformly bounded by a constant independent of $t \geq 0$.

We cannot use right away [Y], Theorem 8 p. 403, to ensure that (φ_t) be smooth outside B for $t > 0$, since our integral condition is stronger than his. However we can use [Y], Thm 3, p 365 to conclude that, in case $\cap_i \{t_i = 0\} = \emptyset$, (φ_t) is smooth outside B and $dd^c \varphi_t$ is a form whose coefficients are globally bounded on X , hence $\varphi_t \in C^{1,1}(X)$ for $t > 0$. Since this does not imply ellipticity if $\cap_i \{s_i = 0\} \neq \emptyset$, this does not imply higher regularity on the whole of X .

The required uniformity in $t > 0$ is not proved in [Y]. To deal with this case, we use a nice trick due to H.Tsuji [Ts].

The simplest case. First, assume $\cap_i \{s_i = 0\} \cup \cap_i \{t_i = 0\} = \emptyset$. Hence the family of equations under consideration can be rewritten as:

$$(\omega_0 + t\Omega + dd^c \varphi_t)^n = C_t e^F \Omega^n,$$

F being smooth.

Tsuji's trick is as follows. By Kodaira's lemma, there exists E an effective Cartier divisor of X such that $[\omega_0] = [\kappa_\varepsilon] + \varepsilon[E]$ where $[\kappa_\varepsilon]$ is ample, hence

⁵Notice that apart from the C^0 -estimate with degenerate L.H.S., the methods used here are standard and in [Y], [Ts] and [Ko]. Higher regularity in [TZ] is treated along similar lines given the L^∞ -estimate the authors announce.

we may choose a representative κ_ε which is a Kähler form for every $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. We may actually assume E contains B and use a family of E such that $\cap \text{Supp}(E) = B$, by Nakamaye's theorem on base loci [Na].

Actually, despite the notation, it will NOT be necessary to let ε decrease to 0⁶ and we will fix once for all such an $\varepsilon > 0$.

Let $\sigma \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(E))$ be the canonical section vanishing on E with the appropriate multiplicity. We can fix a smooth hermitian metric on this line bundle such that the Poincaré Lelong equation holds,

$$\omega_o = \kappa_\varepsilon + \varepsilon[E] - \varepsilon dd^c \log |\sigma|^2.$$

The function $\varphi_t := \varphi_t - \varepsilon \log |\sigma|^2$ is smooth in $X \setminus E$ and is a classical solution to the PDE

$$(\kappa_\varepsilon + t\Omega + dd^c \varphi_t)^n = e^{F_{\varepsilon,t}} (\kappa_\varepsilon + t\Omega)^n,$$

where $(F_{\varepsilon,t})_{1 \geq t > 0}$ is uniformly bounded in the $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ -topology of functions and $\kappa_t = \kappa_\varepsilon + t\Omega$ is uniformly bounded in the \mathcal{C}^∞ -topology of Kähler forms on X .

We can use the result of the calculation in [Y], section 2. The important formula is (2.22) p. 351 and in a subsidiary fashion (2.21). In these formulae, at each point $p \in X - E$, an adequate system of normal coordinates for κ_t is constructed and comparing the notations here and there, we substitute n for m , κ_t for $g'_{i\bar{j}}$, $\kappa_t + dd^c \varphi_t$ for $g'_{i\bar{j}}$, φ_t for φ and $F_{\varepsilon,t}$ for F . The operator Δ is the Laplace operator (with the analyst's sign) of κ_t and Δ' the Laplace operator of $\kappa_t + dd^c \varphi_t$. Also $R_{i\bar{i}l\bar{l}} = R_{i\bar{i}l\bar{l}}^t$ is the holomorphic bisectional curvature of κ_t expressed in the above system of normal coordinates.

Since κ_t is uniformly bounded in the \mathcal{C}^2 topology of Kähler forms then certainly there is constant $C = C_\varepsilon$ independent of t such that (2.21) holds and C' also independent of t such that $C' > \inf R_{i\bar{i}l\bar{l}}^t$.

After these substitutions are made, (2.22) p. 351 reads:

$$e^{C\varphi_t} \Delta'(e^{-C\varphi_t}(n + \Delta\varphi_t)) \geq \Delta(F_{\varepsilon,t}) - n^2 C' - Cn(n + \Delta\varphi_t) + e^{-\frac{F_{\varepsilon,t}}{n-1}} (n + \Delta\varphi_t)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}$$

We can fix constants C_i independent of t such that

$$\Delta F_{\varepsilon,t} \geq C_1 \quad \text{and} \quad e^{-F_{\varepsilon,t}/n-1} \geq C_3 > 0.$$

Thus setting $y = n + \Delta\varphi_t$ yields

$$e^{C\varphi_t} \Delta'(e^{-C\varphi_t}(n + \Delta\varphi_t)) \geq C_5 + C_6 y + e^{C_7} y^{\frac{n}{n-1}}.$$

Now by definition

$$e^{-C\varphi_t}(n + \Delta\varphi_t) = |\sigma|^{+C\varepsilon} e^{-C\varphi_t}(n + \Delta\varphi_t + \varepsilon \Delta \log |\sigma|^2).$$

For each $t > 0$ the functions φ_t , $\varepsilon \Delta \log |\sigma|^2$ and $\Delta\varphi_t$ are bounded on X . Hence the positive function $e^{-C\varphi_t}(n + \Delta\varphi_t)$ is continuous on X , vanishes on E and is smooth on $X - E$. Its maximum is achieved at some point $p_t \notin E$. It follows from the maximum principle that

$$0 \geq C_5 + C_6 y + e^{C_7} y^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \text{ at point } y = y(p_t).$$

Therefore $y \leq C_8$ with a constant independent of $t > 0$. Now $e^{-C\varphi_t(p_t)} = |\sigma(p_t)|^{+C\varepsilon} e^{-C\varphi_t(p_t)}$. Using the uniform \mathcal{C}^0 estimate for φ_t , we get $0 \leq (n +$

⁶This technical device could be useful to study finer regularity results

$\Delta_t \varphi_t) \leq C_9 e^{+C\varphi_t}$. Since $|\varphi_t|$ and $\varepsilon \Delta \log |\sigma|^2$ are uniformly bounded by a constant independent of $t > 0$, we infer

$$(n + \Delta_t \varphi_t) \leq C_{10} |\sigma|^{-C\varepsilon} = C_{10} |\sigma|^{-C_\varepsilon \varepsilon}.$$

This yields a t -independent \mathcal{C}^0 -estimate of $dd^c \varphi_t$ on the compact subsets of $X - E$ ⁷.

Standard arguments of the theory of complex Monge-Ampère equations give an interior estimate of φ_t in $\mathcal{C}_{loc}^{k,\alpha}(X - E)$ for every $k \geq 2$, $\alpha \in]0, 1[$ which is independent of $t > 0$ (see for instance Theorem 5.1, p. 15 in [Bl2]). Hence the family $(\varphi_t)_{t>0}$ is precompact in every $\mathcal{C}_{loc}^{k,\alpha}(X - E)$. Its cluster values are cluster values in $\mathcal{C}^0(X - E)$ hence they are all equal to $\varphi|_{X-E}$. This implies $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{loc}^{k,\alpha}(X - E)$, hence that $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X - E)$.

*Case where $\cap_i \{t_i = 0\} = \emptyset$.*⁸ We study here the equation

$$(\omega_o + t\Omega + dd^c \varphi_t)^n = C_t (|s_1|^{2k} + \dots + |s_p|^{2k}) e^F \Omega^n$$

The first few steps of the preceding argument can be repeated without changes. Next we apply formula (2.22) in [Y] as earlier, except that we set $F = F_{\varepsilon,t} + \log \|s\|^{(2k)}$, where $\|s\|^{(2k)} := |s_1|^{2k} + \dots + |s_p|^{2k}$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} e^{C\varphi_t} \Delta'(e^{-C\varphi_t}(n + \Delta\varphi_t)) &\geq \Delta F_{\varepsilon,t} + \Delta \log \|s\|^{(2k)} - n^2 C' - Cn(n + \Delta\varphi_t) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{e^{-F_{\varepsilon,t}}}{\|s\|^{(2k)}} \right)^{1/(n-1)} (n + \Delta\varphi_t)^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \end{aligned}$$

We recall the two preceding inequalities and observe two new ones that are available:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta F_{\varepsilon,t} &\geq C_1 \quad \text{and} \quad e^{-F_{\varepsilon,t}/n-1} \geq C_3 > 0; \\ \Delta \log \|s\|^{(2k)} &\geq C_2 \quad \text{and} \quad C_4 \geq \|s\|^{(2k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting as earlier $y = n + \Delta\varphi_t$, we get

$$e^{C\varphi_t} \Delta'(e^{-C\varphi_t}(n + \Delta\varphi_t)) \geq C_5 + C_6 y + e^{C_7} y^{\frac{m}{m-1}}.$$

After this point, the proof is entirely the same as before.

Remark 3.7. *In order to carry out the second order a priori estimate, one needs information that only depend on $\sup_X F$ and $\inf_X \Delta F$. This is pointed out in [Y], p. 351, and it is the basis for the proof of [Y], Thm 3.*

3.4. Formal reduction of the general case to the second case using smooth orbifolds. In order to carry out the present argument, which in essence is just a change of variables $z \rightsquigarrow \zeta = z^{1/m}$, we need to use analysis on certain smooth orbifolds. We will not give complete definitions since they are in the recent reference [BGK], section 2 pp. 560-564, see also [MO] and the references therein.

Let (X, Δ) be a smooth orbifold pair. By this we mean that we have the prime decomposition $\Delta = \sum_i (1 - m_i^{-1}) E_i$ where $m_i \in \mathbb{N}^*$ is an integer. We assume that $\text{supp}(\Delta)$ is a simple normal crossing divisor. Then, a classical

⁷Note that $C = C_\varepsilon$ and that $\varepsilon C_\varepsilon$ might blow up as ε goes to 0.

⁸It suffices to consider this case for constructing singular KE metrics on algebraic varieties with canonical singularities

construction surveyed in [BGK] enables to construct an orbifold $[X, \Delta]$ with a 1-morphism of orbifolds $c : [X, \Delta] \rightarrow X$ with the following properties:

- c is the reduction to the coarse moduli space of $[X, \Delta]$.
- $c_{X-Supp(\Delta)} : U := [X, \Delta] \times_X \rightarrow X - Supp(\Delta)$ is an isomorphism. Hence U is an open suborbifold of $[X, \Delta]$ which is an old-fashioned manifold).
- For every open polydisk $\mathbb{D} \subset X$ with local coordinates z_1, \dots, z_n such that $Supp(\Delta) = \{\prod_{j=1}^p z_j = 0\}$ $[X, \Delta] \times_X \mathbb{D} = [\mathbb{D}'/G_{loc}]$.
In this formula, the local isotropy group is $G_{loc} = \prod_{j=1}^p \mathbb{Z}/m_j \mathbb{Z}$, m_j is the integer multiplicity of the divisor E_{i_j} such that $E_{i_j} \cap \mathbb{D} = \{z_j = 0\}$, G_{loc} acts on the polydisk \mathbb{D}' by $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_p) \cdot (z'_1, \dots, z'_n) = (\zeta_1 z'_1, \dots, \zeta_p z'_p, z'_{p+1}, \dots)$ ⁹.
The orbifold 1-morphism $[\mathbb{D}'/G_{loc}] \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is induced by $\varkappa_{loc} : (z'_1, \dots, z'_n) \mapsto ((z'_1)^{m_1}, \dots)$.
- For sufficiently divisible s , $c_* \mathcal{O}_{[X, \Delta]}(sK_{[X, \Delta]}) = \mathcal{O}_X(s(K_X + \Delta))$.

It is possible to define all the basic concepts of Kähler geometry on orbifolds such as smooth functions, Kähler metrics, etc... The principle is to think of \varkappa_{loc}^{-1} as a (multivalued) smooth coordinate chart.

A continuous function on $[X, \Delta]$ is a continuous function on X . A Radon measure on $[X, \Delta]$ is a Radon measure on X .

A smooth function f on $[X, \Delta]$ is a continuous function on X such that for every local chart $\varkappa_{loc}^* f$ is smooth. In particular f is Hölder continuous.

A Kähler metric $\Omega_{[X, \Delta]}$ on $[X, \Delta]$ is a Kähler metric $\Omega_{X-Supp(\Delta)}$ on $X - Supp(\Delta)$ with the property that $\varkappa_{loc}^* \Omega$ extends to a smooth Kähler metric on \mathbb{D}' . In particular, it also extends as a closed Kähler current on X with Hölder potentials.

The pull back of a Kähler form on X to $[X, \Delta]$ is a semi-Kähler form that is actually cohomologous to a Kähler class¹⁰.

Observe that $\varkappa_{loc}^* dz_l = m_{i_l} (z'_l)^{m_{i_l}-1} dz'_l$, hence a smooth volume form on $[X, \Delta]$ can be interpreted as a volume form v on $X - Supp(\Delta)$ such that

$$v \text{ is comparable to } \frac{\prod_{l=1}^n (\sqrt{-1} dz_l \wedge d\bar{z}_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^p |z_l|^{2(1-1/m_{i_l})}}.$$

In case the pair $(X, l^{-1}(t_1))$ is an orbifold pair, the equation

$$(5) \quad (\omega_o + dd^c \varphi_t)^n = C_t \frac{|s_1|^{2k} + \dots + |s_p|^{2k}}{|t_1|^{2l}} e^F \Omega_X^n$$

can be interpreted on $[X, \Delta]$ as an equation of the form

$$(c^* \omega_o + dd^c \varphi_t)^n = C_t (|s_1|^{2k} + \dots + |s_p|^{2k}) e^F \Omega_{[X, \Delta]}^n.$$

The method used to analyze the case where $\cap_i \{t_i = 0\} = \emptyset$ extends with almost no changes to the orbifold case. Hence the unique continuous solution of equation (5) is smooth outside its singular locus if $(X, \frac{1}{l}(t_1))$ is an orbifold pair.

⁹The usual isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ with the group of m -th root of unity is used.

¹⁰Here no reference can be given. But it is easy to extend the gluing methods for Kähler forms developed in [Dem 3] and [Pa] to orbifolds. Hence [DP] extends to Kähler orbifolds.

Under the more general hypothesis that $\int_X |t_1|^{-2l} < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{l}(t_1)$ is a divisor with simple normal crossings, then we can construct an orbifold pair (X, Δ) with $0 \leq \frac{1}{l}(t_1) \leq \Delta$ and we are back to the previous case.

For the most general case, consider the ideal \mathcal{I} generated by the t_i and fix $\mu : X' \rightarrow X$ a log resolution of (X, \mathcal{I}) . Then we are back to the previous case, with an equation on X' . This ends the proof of Theorem 3.6.

In certain rare circumstances, there is a finite smooth covering $Y \rightarrow X$ such that $Y/G = X$ and $[Y/G] = [X, \Delta]$ and the argument we use here reduces to a G -equivariant argument on Y .

Remarks 3.8. *If we start with ω_o Kähler, and the log-resolution is non trivial, $\mu^*\omega_o$ is not Kähler anymore.*

This method that dates back to [Ko] can be used to prove a variant of [Y], Theorem 7 p. 399 where the divisor of s_2 is a simple normal crossing divisor, under the sole assumption that $\int_M |s_2|^{-2k_2} < \infty$.

Now, it could not have been used to prove Theorem 8 p. 403 in 1978 since log-resolutions force the use of Monge-Ampère equations with degenerate L.H.S, for which the C^0 -estimate proved here was not available then.

4. MORE MONGE-AMPÈRE EQUATIONS

As we aim at constructing singular Kähler-Einstein metrics, it is important to consider Monge-Ampère equations of the following type,

$$(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = e^{t\varphi} \mu,$$

where μ is a probability measure which satisfies condition $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, A, \omega)$ (see definition 1.3), and t is a real parameter. The case $t = 0$, treated in Theorem 2.1, will correspond to Ricci-flat metrics (see section 6). We focus here on case $t > 0$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let μ be a probability measure which satisfies condition $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, A, \omega)$ and fix $t > 0$. There exists a unique function $\varphi_t \in PSH(X, \omega) \cap C^0(X)$ such that*

$$(\omega + dd^c \varphi_t)^n = e^{t\varphi} \mu.$$

Proof. The uniqueness easily follows from the comparison principle as we explain in proposition 4.3 below. We are going to prove the existence by a fixed point method.

Fix $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ such that $\int_X \psi d\mu = 0$, and let us consider the equation

$$MA(\psi) \quad (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = e^{t\psi - c_\psi} \mu,$$

where the constant $c_\psi := \log[\int_X e^{t\psi} d\mu]$ is chosen so that

$$1 = \int_X (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = e^{-c_\psi} \int_X e^{t\psi} d\mu.$$

Observe that $\mu_\psi := e^{t\psi - c_\psi} \mu$ satisfies condition $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, A_\psi, \omega)$, where $A_\psi = \exp(t \sup_X \psi - c_\psi)$. It follows therefore from Theorem 2.1 that there exists a unique continuous function $\varphi \in PSH(X, \omega)$ solution to $MA(\psi)$ and normalized by $\int_X \varphi d\mu = 0$. We use here this *linear* normalization rather than

the non-linear sup-normalization: they are comparable thanks to proposition 2.7 in [GZ 1], which shows that

$$-M_\mu \leq \int_X u d\mu - \sup_X u \leq 0,$$

for all functions $u \in PSH(X, \omega)$ and for some uniform constant $M_\mu > 0$. Since $\int_X \psi d\mu = 0$, we infer

$$(6) \quad 0 \leq \mathcal{E}_\omega(\varphi) := \int_X |\varphi| \omega_\varphi^n = e^{-c_\psi} \int_X |\varphi| e^{t\psi} d\mu \leq 2M_\mu e^{tM_\mu},$$

by observing that $c_\psi \geq 0$ since $t \geq 0$, and

$$\int_X |\varphi| d\mu \leq \int_X |\varphi - \sup_X \varphi| d\mu + \sup_X \varphi \leq 2M_\mu,$$

since $\int_X \varphi d\mu = 0$.

The important fact here is that the energy $\mathcal{E}_\omega(\varphi)$ of φ is bounded from above by a constant $M_0 := 2M_\mu e^{tM_\mu}$ which is independent of ψ . We have thus defined an operator

$$T : \psi \in \mathcal{C}_M \mapsto \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{M_0}$$

which associates to $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_M$ the unique solution $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{M_0}$ to $MA(\psi)$, where

$$\mathcal{C}_M := \left\{ \psi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega) / \int_X \psi d\mu = 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{E}_\omega(\psi) \leq M \right\}.$$

It follows from proposition 3.2.3 in [GZ 2] that $\mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ is convex. So is the subset of functions $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ such that $\int_X \psi d\mu = 0$. The set \mathcal{C}_M is not convex, but it is relatively compact in $L^1(X)$ and its closed convex hull $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_M$ is contained in $\mathcal{C}_{\kappa_n M}$ for some uniform constant κ_n which only depends on the dimension of X : this follows from easy computations (see lemma 7.2 and the proof of proposition 3.2 in [GZ 2]). Therefore T maps the compact convex set $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_M$ into itself if M is large enough.

We claim that T is continuous. Let $(\psi_j) \in \mathcal{C}_M^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of functions which converges in $L^1(X)$ towards $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_M$. We need to show that $\varphi_j := T(\psi_j)$ converges in $L^1(X)$ towards $T(\psi)$. Since the set $\{u \in PSH(X, \omega) / \int_X u d\mu = 0\}$ is relatively compact in $L^1(X)$ (see proposition 2.7 in [GZ 1]), we can assume – relabelling if necessary – that (φ_j) converges in $L^1(X)$ towards a function $\varphi \in PSH(X, \omega)$. We show in lemma 4.2 below that (φ_j) converges in $L^1(\mu)$ towards φ . In particular $\int_X \varphi d\mu = 0$ and, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that $e^{t\psi_j(x)} \rightarrow e^{t\psi(x)}$ for μ almost every point x . Set

$$\hat{\varphi}_j := \left(\sup_{l \geq j} \varphi_l \right)^* \quad \text{and} \quad \check{\psi}_j := \inf_{l \geq j} \psi_l.$$

Observe that $(\hat{\varphi}_j)$ decreases towards φ , while $(e^{t\check{\psi}_j})$ increases towards $e^{t\psi}$ at μ almost every point. The energy of $\hat{\varphi}_j$ is controlled by that of φ_j since $\hat{\varphi}_j \geq \varphi_j$ (see lemma 7.2 in [GZ 2]), and $\mathcal{E}_\omega(\varphi_j) \leq M_0$ by (5), therefore

$\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ and $(\omega + dd^c \hat{\varphi}_j)^n \rightarrow (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n$. It follows from an inequality of J.-P. Demailly [Dem 1] that

$$(\omega + dd^c \hat{\varphi}_j)^n \geq e^{t\hat{\psi}_j - \hat{c}_j} \mu,$$

where $\hat{c}_j := \sup_{l \geq j} c_{\psi_l}$. Observe that $\hat{c}_j \rightarrow c_\psi$, thus

$$(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n \geq e^{t\psi - c_\psi} \mu.$$

Since these are two probability measures, there is actually equality hence $\varphi = T(\psi)$: this shows that T is continuous.

We can now invoke Schauder fixed point theorem, which yields a fixed point $\varphi = T(\varphi)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_M$. The function φ is automatically continuous (by Theorem 2.1, since $e^{t\varphi - c_\varphi} \mu$ satisfies $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, A', \omega)$), hence $\Phi := \varphi - t^{-1}c_\varphi$ is the solution we were looking for. \square

Lemma 4.2. *The functions $\varphi_j = T(\psi_j)$ (respectively $e^{t\psi_j}$) converge in $L^1(\mu)$ towards φ (respectively $e^{t\psi}$).*

Proof. We first show that (φ_j) converges to φ in $L^1(\mu)$. Observe that the sequence (φ_j) is uniformly bounded: this follows from Theorem 2.1 since $(\omega + dd^c \varphi_j)^n$ satisfies $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, A_j, \omega)$, where $A_j = e^{t \sup_X \psi_j - c_{\psi_j}} A \leq e^{tM} A$ is bounded from above. It follows then from standard arguments that $\int_X \varphi_j d\mu \rightarrow \int_X \varphi d\mu$ (see e.g. the proof of lemma 5.2 in [Ce]).

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and let G be an open set of X such that φ is continuous on $X \setminus G$ and $Cap_\omega(G) \leq \varepsilon$ (see corollary 3.8 in [GZ 1]). By Hartogs' lemma, $\varphi_j \leq \varphi + \varepsilon$ on the compact set $X \setminus G$, if $j \geq j_\varepsilon$. Observe that

$$\int_{X \setminus G} |\varphi - \varphi_j| d\mu \leq 2\varepsilon + \int_{X \setminus G} [\varphi - \varphi_j] d\mu \leq 3\varepsilon,$$

if $j \geq j'_\varepsilon$. On the other hand since μ satisfies $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, A, \omega)$, we get

$$\int_G |\varphi - \varphi_j| d\mu \leq 2M\mu(G) \leq 2MA\varepsilon^{1+\alpha},$$

where $M = \sup_j \|\varphi_j\|_{L^\infty(X)}$. This shows that $\|\varphi - \varphi_j\|_{L^1(\mu)} \rightarrow 0$.

The proof for $(e^{t\psi_j})$ is similar: it suffices to note that the functions $u_j := e^{t\psi_j - t \sup_X \psi_j}$ are ω -psh and uniformly bounded. One can then apply the rest of the argument. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ and $t > 0$ be such that*

$$(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n e^{-t\varphi} = (\omega + dd^c \psi)^n e^{-t\psi}.$$

Then $\varphi \equiv \psi$.

Proof. It follows from the comparison principle (see [GZ 2]) that

$$\int_{(\varphi < \psi)} (\omega + dd^c \psi)^n \leq \int_{(\varphi < \psi)} (\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = \int_{(\varphi < \psi)} e^{t(\varphi - \psi)} (\omega + dd^c \psi)^n.$$

Since $e^{t(\varphi - \psi)} < 1$ on $(\varphi < \psi)$, we infer $\varphi \geq \psi$ for ν almost every point, where $\nu = (\omega + dd^c \psi)^n$. Reversing the roles of φ, ψ yields $\varphi = \psi$ for ν almost every point. Therefore $(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = (\omega + dd^c \psi)^n$, hence $\varphi - \psi = c$ is constant by Theorem 3.4 in [GZ 2]. Finally $c = 0$ since $e^{tc} = 1$ and $t > 0$. \square

When K_X is nef and big, H.Tsuji constructed in [Ts] – using Kähler-Ricci flow techniques – a function $\psi \in PSH(X, \omega)$ such that

$$\int_X e^{t\psi} d\mu = 1, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X \setminus E) \quad \text{and} \quad (\omega + dd^c \psi)^n = e^{t\psi} \mu \text{ in } X \setminus E,$$

where E is some exceptional divisor, ψ is smooth outside the exceptional divisor of map associated to the base point free linear system $|NK_X|$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ big enough and the current $T_{KE} = \omega + dd^c \psi$ defines a Kähler-Einstein metric. This function coincides with our solution thanks to the following unicity result.

Proposition 4.4. *Let μ be a probability measure and $t > 0$. Let $\varphi, \psi \in PSH(X, \omega)$ be such that $\int_X e^{t\varphi} d\mu = \int_X e^{t\psi} d\mu = 1$. Assume $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ is a global solution to the complex Monge-Ampère equation $(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = e^{t\varphi} \mu$, while $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^0(X \setminus E)$ satisfies $(\omega + dd^c \psi)^n = e^{t\psi} \mu$ only in $X \setminus E$.*

Then $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ and $\psi \equiv \varphi$.

Proof. Set $\psi_j := \max(\psi, -j) \in PSH(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$. Observe that the probability measures $(\omega + dd^c \psi_j)^n$ converge in $X \setminus E$ towards the measure $\nu = e^{t\psi} \mu$. Since $\nu(X) = \nu(X \setminus E) = 1$, it follows that $(\omega + dd^c \psi_j)^n$ converges to ν on all of X .

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and set $v_\varepsilon := (\psi + \varepsilon v)/(1 + \varepsilon) \in PSH(X, \omega)$, where $v \in PSH(X, \omega)$, $v \leq 0$, is such that e^v is continuous and $(v = -\infty) = E$. It follows from lemma 2.2 that for all $s > 0$,

$$Cap_\omega(\psi_j < -s - 1) \leq \int_{(\psi_j < -s)} (\omega + dd^c \psi_j)^n \leq \int_{(v_\varepsilon \leq -s/(1+\varepsilon))} (\omega + dd^c \psi_j)^n.$$

Observe that e^{v_ε} is continuous on X , hence the sublevel sets $(v_\varepsilon \leq c)$ are compact. We infer, letting $j \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$Cap_\omega(\psi < -s - 1) \leq \int_{(v_\varepsilon \leq -s/(1+\varepsilon))} e^{t\psi} d\mu.$$

Letting ε go to zero and using that $\mu(X) = 1$ yields

$$Cap_\omega(\psi < -s - 1) \leq \int_{(\psi < -s)} e^{t\psi} d\mu \leq e^{-s}.$$

Therefore the capacity of the sublevel sets of ψ decreases fast as $s \rightarrow +\infty$, hence by lemma 6.2 in [GZ 2] we get $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$. Since $e^{-t\varphi}(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n \equiv e^{-t\psi}(\omega + dd^c \psi)^n$, it follows from proposition 4.3 that $\varphi \equiv \psi$. \square

Theorem 4.5. *Let X be projective algebraic complex manifold, ω_0 a smooth semi Kähler form that is Kähler outside a complex subvariety $S \subset X$, and fix Ω be a Kähler form on X . Assume that $\omega_0^n = D\Omega^n$, where $D^{-\varepsilon}$ is in $L^1(\Omega^n)$, and that $[\omega_0], [\Omega] \in NS_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$.*

Let s_1, \dots, s_p (resp. t_1, \dots, t_q) be holomorphic sections of some line bundle L (resp. L') on X . Fix $k \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, $l \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $F \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$. Assume that

$$\int_X \frac{1}{|t_1|^{2l} + \dots + |t_q|^{2l}} \Omega^n < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_X \frac{|s_1|^{2k} + \dots + |s_p|^{2k}}{|t_1|^{2l} + \dots + |t_q|^{2l}} e^F \Omega^n = \int_X \Omega^n.$$

For each $t > 0$, the unique function $\varphi \in PSH(X, \omega_0) \cap C^0(X)$ such that

$$(\omega_0 + dd^c \varphi)^n = \frac{|s_1|^{2k} + \dots + |s_p|^{2k}}{|t_1|^{2l} + \dots + |t_q|^{2l}} e^{F+t\varphi} \Omega^n$$

is smooth outside $B = S \cup \bigcap_i \{s_i = 0\} \cup \bigcap_i \{t_i = 0\}$.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 3.5 applies here almost verbatim. \square

Remark 4.6. We will apply Theorem 4.1 in section 6 to construct singular Kähler-Einstein metrics on manifolds of general type. This will follow from the resolution of $(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = e^{t\varphi} \mu$ for large enough values of $t > 0$. The Monge-Ampère equations

$$(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n = e^{-t\varphi} \mu, \quad t > 0,$$

can also be solved with a similar method, but only for small values of $t < t_X$. The critical exponent t_X depends on the manifold X , and may be too small to produce Kähler-Einstein metrics when $c_1(X) > 0$: even smooth manifolds of positive scalar curvature do not necessarily admit Kähler-Einstein metrics (see [T]). Since technical details are much more involved in this case, we postpone this study to a forthcoming article.

5. SINGULARITIES IN MORI THEORY

The singular locus of the normal complex space of pure dimension n is a codimension ≥ 2 analytic subvariety denoted by V^{sing} . Let $V^{reg} = V - V^{sing}$ and $j : V^{reg} \rightarrow V$ be the natural open immersion.

5.1. Log terminal singularities. Since this material may not be familiar to complex analysts or differential geometers, we briefly recall some basic facts on some of the singularities encountered in the Minimal Model Program (MMP for short). See [KM] for a detailed account in the algebraic case, the analytic theory being also surveyed there in less detail.

The sheaf of holomorphic functions \mathcal{O}_V is the subsheaf of the sheaf of continuous functions on V consisting of the functions whose restriction to V^{reg} is holomorphic. Actually, by Hartogs' theorem, any holomorphic function on V^{reg} extends to V , which means that $j_* \mathcal{O}_{V^{reg}} = \mathcal{O}_V$.

Every meromorphic n -form α on V^{reg} extends to V , i.e. let $\pi : X \rightarrow V$ be a resolution of singularities of V , then the meromorphic n -form $\pi^* \alpha$ defined on $\pi^{-1} V^{reg}$ extends to a meromorphic n -form on X . Let $\omega_{V^{reg}}$ be the canonical sheaf of the smooth variety V^{reg} . The sheaf $\omega_V = j_* \omega_{V^{reg}}$ is a coherent analytic sheaf on V .

More generally every meromorphic pluricanonical form on V^{reg} extends to V and $\omega_V^{[q]} = j_* \omega_{V^{reg}}^q$, $q > 0$ is a coherent analytic sheaf on V .

Definition 5.1. Say V is **1-Gorenstein** iff one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- (1) Every $x \in V$ has an open neighborhood U such that U^{reg} carries an holomorphic n -form with an empty zero divisor.
- (2) ω_V is a rank one locally free sheaf.
- (3) Every $x \in V$ has an open neighborhood U such that $\omega_{U^{reg}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{U^{reg}}|_U$.

A local section of ω_V defining an holomorphic n -form without zeroes on V^{reg} will be called a local generator of ω_V . If furthermore V is Cohen-Macaulay, V is said to be Gorenstein.

Say V is **\mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein** iff one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied:

- (1) Every $x \in V$ has an open neighborhood U such that U^{reg} carries an holomorphic pluricanonical form with an empty zero divisor.
- (2) For every $x \in V$, there exists $N_x \in \mathbb{N}$ and an open neighborhood U of x such that $\omega_U^{[N_x]}$ is a rank one locally free sheaf.
- (3) For every $x \in V$ there is $N_x \in \mathbb{N}$ and an open neighborhood U of x such that $\omega_{U^{reg}}^{N_x}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{V^{reg}|U}$.

A local section of $\omega_V^{[N]}$ defining an holomorphic pluricanonical form without zeroes on V^{reg} will be called a local generator of $\omega_V^{[N]}$.

For every $x \in V$, the smallest N_x fulfilling condition 3 near x is called the local index of V at x . The l.c.m. of all local indices, if finite, is called the index of V .

Definition 5.2. Say V has only **canonical singularities** iff V is \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein, of finite index N and one of the following equivalent conditions is fulfilled:

- (1) Let $\pi : X \rightarrow V$ be a resolution. Let α be a local generator of $\omega_V^{[N]}$. The meromorphic pluricanonical form $\pi^*\alpha$ is holomorphic.
- (2) Let $\pi : X \rightarrow V$ be a resolution. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\pi_*\omega_X^{[Nm]} = \omega_V^{[Nm]}$.
- (3) (Assuming V is an algebraic variety) Let $\pi : X \rightarrow V$ be a resolution. Then $K_X \cong \pi^*K_V + \sum a_E E$ with $a_E \geq 0$ where \cong means numerical equivalence of \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisors and the sum runs over the exceptional divisors of π .

Observe that it is enough to check the first two conditions for some resolution. In the third condition Na_E is the order of vanishing of $\pi^*\alpha$ along the divisor E .

Definition 5.3. Say V has only **log-terminal singularities** iff V is \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein, of finite index N and the following holds: let $\pi : X \rightarrow V$ be a log-resolution and let α be a local generator of $\omega_V^{[N]}$: then the pole along any component E of $\text{exc}(\pi)$ of the meromorphic N -canonical form $\pi^*\alpha$ on X is of order $\leq N - 1$.

When V is algebraic an equivalent formulation is: let $\pi : X \rightarrow V$ be a log-resolution. Then $K_X \cong \pi^*K_V + \sum_E a_E E$ with $a_E > -1$.

The importance of the class of canonical singularities comes from a theorem due to M. Reid [R 1] (see also [Deb], p. 174):

Theorem 5.4. Let X be a projective algebraic manifold of general type whose canonical ring $R = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H^0(X, \omega_X^n)$ is of finite type. Then the canonical model of X , $X_{can} := \text{Proj}(R)$ has only canonical singularities. If $N = \text{Index}(X_{can})$ then $\omega_{X_{can}}^{[N]}$ is ample.

The finiteness of the canonical ring for varieties of general type is known in dimension 3 [Ka]. In higher dimension, Y.Kawamata has proved that it

is a consequence of the existence of minimal models. X_{can} is a uniquely defined singular birational model of X . The minimal models of X in the sense of the MMP are crepant terminalizations of X_{can} and do not enjoy the above unicity since they may be related by non trivial flops.

Examples 5.5. *Let S be a normal algebraic surface. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) S has only canonical singularities.
- (2) S is locally analytically isomorphic to $X = \mathbb{C}^2/G$, $G \subset SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ a finite subgroup.
- (3) The exceptional divisors of the minimal resolution π_{min} of S , have simple normal crossings, their components are (-2) smooth rational curves, their incidence graphs are of type A-D-E (Du Val singularities).

The log terminal surface singularities are precisely the singularities of the form $X = \mathbb{C}^2/G$, $G \subset GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ a finite subgroup.

Examples 5.6. *In higher dimension, quotient singularities are still log terminal. Fix $n > 0$ and let $H \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a smooth degree d hypersurface. The affine cone over H has only canonical singularities iff $d \leq n + 1$.*

In particular, the ordinary double point $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + t^2 = 0$ has only canonical singularities but it is not a quotient singularity.

The hypersurface singularities of type A – D – E are canonical.

5.2. Normal Kähler spaces.

Plurisubharmonic functions. Let V be a normal analytic space of pure dimension n . A plurisubharmonic (psh) function φ on V is an upper semi-continuous function on V with values in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$, which is not locally $-\infty$, and extends to a psh function in some local embedding $V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$. The function φ is strongly psh (resp. \mathcal{C}^0 , resp. \mathcal{C}^∞) iff it extends to a strongly psh function (resp. \mathcal{C}^0 , resp. \mathcal{C}^∞) in some local embedding. A continuous function is psh iff its restriction to V^{reg} is so [FN]. A bounded psh function on V^{reg} extends to V .

A pluriharmonic function on V is a real valued continuous function on V f on V such that one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- f is locally the real part of a holomorphic function.
- Given a local embedding $V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$, f extends locally to a pluriharmonic function on \mathbb{C}^N .
- $f|_{V^{reg}}$ is pluriharmonic.

Semi-Kähler currents.

Definition 5.7. *A semi-Kähler, resp. Kähler, resp. smooth Kähler, potential on V is a family $(U_i, \varphi_i)_{i \in I}$ where (U_i) is an open covering of V and φ_i a psh function, resp. a strongly psh function, resp. a \mathcal{C}^∞ -smooth strongly psh function, on U_i such that $\varphi_i - \varphi_j$ is pluriharmonic on $U_i \cap U_j$.*

Define an equivalence relation on semi-kähler potentials requiring that $(U_i, \varphi_i) \sim (V_j, \psi_j)$ iff $\varphi_i - \psi_j$ is pluriharmonic on $U_i \cap V_j$.

Definition 5.8. A smooth Kähler metric Ω on V is a \sim -equivalence class of smooth Kähler potentials. A semi-Kähler (resp. Kähler) current on V is a \sim -equivalence class of semi-Kähler (resp. Kähler) potentials.

A semi-Kähler current $\Omega = (U_i, \varphi_i)_{i \in I} \bmod \sim$ is said to have L_{loc}^∞ (resp. C^0 , resp. Hölder continuous) potentials iff each φ_i is L_{loc}^∞ (resp. C^0 , resp. Hölder continuous).

We will on occasion drop the requirement that the local potentials of Ω are psh, replacing it by the requirement that they are locally the sum of a smooth and a psh function. The current Ω will then be called a quasi positive closed current on V .

If it has locally bounded potentials, Ω is fully determined by the closed $(1,1)$ form Ω_{reg} on V_{reg} defined on U_i by $\Omega_{reg} = dd^c \varphi_i$.

Let Ω be a smooth Kähler metric on V with Kähler potential (U_i, φ_i) . An upper semi-continuous function $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup -\infty$ is said to be Ω -psh iff $\forall i$ $\varphi_i + \varphi$ is psh on U_i . The semi-Kähler current whose potential is $(U_i, \varphi + \varphi_i)$ is denoted by $\Omega + dd^c \varphi$.

Example 5.9. Let $V = \mathbb{C}^2/\pm 1$. Let (x, y) be the usual affine coordinates on \mathbb{C}^2 , (u, v, w) those on \mathbb{C}^3 . The formulas $u = x^2$, $v = y^2$, $w = xy$ realize V as the closed subscheme of \mathbb{C}^3 whose equation is $uv - w^2 = 0$. We have two ‘natural’ Kähler metrics on V , the first one is smooth with potential $\varphi^1 = |u|^2 + |v|^2 + |w|^2$, induced by the euclidean Kähler metric of \mathbb{C}^3 , the second one is the Kähler current whose potential is $\varphi^2 = |u| + |v|$. On V^{reg} it is the quotient of the euclidean metric restricted to $\mathbb{C}^2 - \{0\}$. Near 0, $dd^c \varphi^2 \gg dd^c \varphi^1$.

The metric $dd^c \varphi^2$ is an example of an orbifold Kähler metric on V . The results of [Y] extend without major modifications to Kähler orbifolds. For instance, in each Kähler class of a nodal K3 surface there is a unique Ricci flat orbifold metric.

Chern-Weil forms and hermitian metrics. Let \mathcal{PH}_V be the sheaf of real-valued pluriharmonic functions on V . By definition, a closed $(1,1)$ -form on V is a section of the sheaf $\mathcal{C}_V^\infty/\mathcal{PH}_V$. We have the exact sequence:

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty(V) \rightarrow \Gamma(V, \mathcal{C}_V^\infty/\mathcal{PH}_V) \xrightarrow{[\cdot]} H^1(V, \mathcal{PH}_V) \rightarrow 0.$$

A class in $H^1(X, \mathcal{PH}_X)$ will be called Kähler, if it is in the $[\cdot]$ image of a smooth Kähler metric.

Remark 5.10. Assume X is smooth. A class $[\omega]$ in $H^1(X, \mathcal{PH}_X)$ will be called numerically base point free iff there exists a proper surjective holomorphic mapping $X \rightarrow Y$, Y normal, such that $[\omega]$ is the pull back of a Kähler class on Y . This is a stronger condition than being semi-Kähler.

In the non-big case (i.e.: $\int_X \omega^n = 0$), it is straightforward to construct semi-Kähler classes that are not numerically base point free (e.g. on complex tori). On the other hand, it is still unknown whether there exists a smooth projective variety X and a semi-Kähler form ω which is big without being numerically base point free.

Let L be a holomorphic line bundle on V . The notion of smooth hermitian metric on (V, L) is defined as in the smooth case. Let h be such a metric on (V, L) .

Let $s \in H^0(U, L)$ be a nowhere zero local holomorphic section of L (a local generator of L) defined over the open subset $U \subset V$. Set $e^{-\varphi_s} := \|s\|_h^2$, where φ_s is a C^∞ -smooth function on U . The current $dd^c\varphi_s$ is a smooth closed (1,1)-form on V which does not depend on s ; it is a semi-Kähler current if φ_s is psh.

More generally, let $(U_i)_i$ be an open covering of V and $s_i \in H^0(U_i, \mathcal{O}_V(L))$ a local generator of L . Let $\varphi_i = \varphi_{s_i}$. The datum (U_i, φ_i) defines a smooth closed (1,1)-form on V .

Definition 5.11. *The Chern-Weil form of (V, L, h) (or of h) is the \sim -equivalence class of the data (U_i, φ_i) constructed above. We will denote it by $c_1(L, h)$.*

It is immediate that $[c_1(L, h)]$ is independent of h . Hence there is a linear map $c_1 : Pic(V) \rightarrow H^1(V, \mathcal{PH}_V)$. The connection with the more widely known smooth case is made by the observation that, if X is a compact Kähler manifold, $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}) = H^1(X, \mathcal{PH}_X)$.

Proposition 5.12. *Let V a compact normal complex analytic variety.*

The space $H^1(V, \mathcal{PH}_V)$ is finite dimensional.

Let L a holomorphic line bundle on V . Every representative of $c_1(L)$ in $H^1(V, \mathcal{PH}_V)$ is the Chern-Weil form of a smooth hermitian on L .

If there exists a smooth hermitian metric h such that $c_1(L, h)$ is Kähler, then V is projective-algebraic and L is ample.

Proof. The most difficult task is to show that, in the last assertion, V is Moishezon. This follows from Siu's solution of the Grauert-Riemenschneider conjecture [Siu]. \square

A singular metric on L is an expression $h = e^{-\varphi}h_{sm}$, φ being a locally smooth + psh function and h_{sm} a smooth hermitian metric. Its Chern-Weil form is the quasi-positive current $c_1(L, h_{sm}) + dd^c\varphi$.

6. ADAPTED VOLUME FORMS

6.1. Monge-Ampère equations on normal Kähler spaces. Let Ω be a smooth Kähler metric on V . A classical result of P. Lelong states that if U is relatively compact in V , then U^{reg} is of finite volume with respect to the smooth volume form Ω_{reg}^n .

This has been generalized by E. Bedford and A. Taylor in [BT], where the authors study Monge-Ampère measures for locally bounded psh functions. Since these measures do not charge proper analytic subsets, we obtain:

Proposition 6.1. *Let Ω be a semi-Kähler current with L_{loc}^∞ potentials on V . The Monge-Ampère measure Ω_{reg}^n is well defined on V_{reg} and satisfies $\int_{U^{reg}} \Omega_{reg}^n < \infty$, for all relatively compact subset $U \subset V$.*

For any resolution $\pi : X \rightarrow V$, the Monge-Ampère measure $(\pi^\Omega)^n$ is well defined on X and satisfies $\pi_*(\pi^*\Omega)^n = j_*\Omega_{reg}^n$. Moreover if $\bar{\pi} : \bar{X} \rightarrow V$ is a resolution dominating π (i.e. $\bar{\pi} = \pi \circ \psi$ for some bimeromorphic proper holomorphic map $\psi : \bar{X}' \rightarrow X'$), then $\psi_*(\bar{\pi}^*\Omega)^n = (\pi^*\Omega)^n$.*

The measure $\pi_*(\pi^*\Omega)^n$ is thus well defined on V and independent of the choice of resolution. We will call it the **Monge-Ampère measure of Ω** and denote it by Ω^n . The mass of this measure only depends on the cohomology class of Ω , as follows again from [BT]:

Lemma 6.2. *Assume V is compact. Let Ω_1, Ω_2 two semi Kähler currents with L_{loc}^∞ potential on V . If they are cohomologous, i.e. $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2 + dd^c\varphi$ for some $\varphi \in L^\infty(X)$, then $\int_V \Omega_1^n = \int_V \Omega_2^n$.*

We can now reformulate some of our previous results.

Theorem 6.3. *Let V be a n -dimensional compact normal Kähler space and Ω be a smooth Kähler form on V . Then for every $f \in L^p(V, \Omega^n)$, $p > 1$, such that $\int_V f\Omega^n = \int_V \Omega^n$, there is a unique $\varphi \in C^0(V)$ such that*

$$(\Omega + dd^c\varphi)^n = f\Omega^n \text{ and } \sup_V \varphi = -1.$$

Proof. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow V$ be a resolution of V . We may define a semipositive big smooth form on X by $\omega = \pi^*\Omega$. By Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 3.1 we can solve uniquely $(\omega + dd^c\bar{\varphi})^n = f \circ \pi \omega^n$ where $\bar{\varphi}$ is a continuous function on X such that $\omega + dd^c\bar{\varphi}$ is semipositive. Let F be a fiber of π and $i : F \rightarrow X$ the inclusion map. F is connected by Zariski's main theorem. Furthermore $i^*\omega + dd^ci^*\bar{\varphi}$ is semipositive on F . Since $i^*\omega = 0$, it follows that $i^*\bar{\varphi}$ is a continuous psh function on F . Hence $i^*\bar{\varphi}$ is constant. This implies that $\bar{\varphi} = \varphi \circ \pi$ where φ is a continuous function on V . We do have $(\Omega + dd^c\varphi)^n = f\Omega^n$. \square

6.2. Adapted measures on log terminal Kähler spaces. Let V be a n -dimensional Gorenstein Kähler space and Ω be a smooth Kähler form on V . Fix $x \in V$ and let α be a local generator of ω_V defined over an open subset $x \in U$; then $v = c_n\alpha \wedge \bar{\alpha}$ is a positive definite volume form on U^{reg} , for an appropriate choice of the constant $c_n = \sqrt{-1}^n (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$.

When V is merely \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein of finite index N , we choose β a local generator of $\omega_V^{[N]}$ defined over an open subset $x \in U$ and we set

$$v = v_\beta = \left(\sqrt{-1}^{Nn} (-1)^{N\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \beta \wedge \bar{\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{N}}.$$

This is a positive definite volume form on U^{reg} .

Our next observation is that log terminal singularities are the worst singularities we can allow in order to *globally* solve Monge-Ampère equations associated to volume forms on V .

Lemma 6.4. *For every $U_1 \subset\subset U$, $\int_{U_1^{reg}} v < \infty$ iff X is log terminal.*

*If V is log terminal, then the Radon measure $\mu = j_*v$ satisfies $\mu = f\Omega^n$ with $f \in L^{1+\varepsilon}(U_1, \Omega^n)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow V$ be a log resolution. Write $K_X \cong \pi^*K_V + \sum a_E E$. Since $exc(\pi)$ has simple normal crossings, at every $P \in E = exc(\pi)$ there are local coordinates $(z^i)_{i=1, \dots, n}$ such that E is described by the equation $z^1 \dots z^q = 0$. Let E_j be the divisor $z_j = 0$. We have: $\pi^*v = \prod_{j=1}^q |z^j|^{2a_{E_j}} d\lambda$ where $d\lambda$ is a Lebesgue measure on X , hence the measure π^*v has finite mass near P iff $\forall j, a_{E_j} > -1$. Thus $\int_{U_1^{reg}} v < \infty$ iff $\forall E, a_E > -1$.

Let f_1 be the density of π^*v with respect to $d\lambda$. Since f_1 is comparable to $\prod_{j=1}^q |z_j|^{2a_{E_j}}$ near P , it follows that f_1 belongs actually to $L^p(X, d\lambda)$ for some $p > 1$ when X is log terminal.

Let $D = 1/f$ be the density of Ω^n with respect to v . We will see here below that D is bounded but it might have zeroes on E , hence f is unbounded in general. However we will show that $f \circ \pi \in L^\alpha(X, d\lambda)$ for $\alpha > 0$ small enough, hence it follows from Hölder's inequality (as in the proof of lemma 3.2) that

$$\int_{U_1^{reg}} f^{1+\varepsilon} \Omega^n = \int_{\pi^{-1}U_1^{reg}} f^\varepsilon f_1 d\lambda < +\infty$$

if $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough.

Fix $x \in V$ and let $i : U_x \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ be a local embedding of a neighborhood U_x of x . We consider the $\binom{m}{n}$ n -forms on U_x^{reg} $du^I = du^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge du^{i_n}$, where (u^i) is a set of affine coordinates on \mathbb{C}^m . Observe that Ω^n is comparable to $\sum_I v_{du^I}$ ¹¹. Since β is a local generator at x of $\omega_V^{[N]}$, we have $(du^I)^N = f_I \beta$ where $f_I \in \mathcal{O}_{V,x}$ is the germ of an holomorphic function at x . Therefore Ω^n is comparable to $\sum_I |f_I|^{\frac{2}{N}} v$, hence D is comparable to $[\sum_I |f_I|^{\frac{2}{N}}]^{-1}$ near x .

The functions (f_I) generate an ideal $\mathcal{I}_x \subset \mathcal{O}_{V,x}$. Actually, the construction can be globalized to provide a coherent ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_V$ cosupported on V^{sing} .

We may assume [Hi] that $\pi : X \rightarrow V$ is a log resolution of (V, \mathcal{I}) , namely a log resolution of V with the additional property that the ideal sheaf $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{I} \cdot \mathcal{O}_X$ which is the ideal sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X generated by the family of holomorphic functions $(\pi^*f_I)_I$, satisfies $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{I} \cdot \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-\sum N b_E E) \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ where $N \cdot b_E \in \mathbb{N}$ is a positive multiplicity attached to any exceptional divisor of π .

In local coordinates near $P \in X$, π^*D is comparable to $\prod_j |z_j|^{2b_{E_j}}$, hence $\pi^*(f_1 D^{-\varepsilon})$ is comparable to $\prod_j |z_j|^{2(a_{E_j} - \varepsilon b_{E_j})}$. It follows that for every relatively compact subset $U_1 \subset\subset U$, $f \in L^{1+\varepsilon}(U_1, \Omega^n)$ iff $\forall E, \pi(E) \cap U \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow a_E - \varepsilon b_E > -1$. \square

Definition 6.5. *Assume V has only log terminal singularities. A positive definite adapted measure on V is a positive Radon measure locally of the form $e^f \cdot v$ where f is a bounded measurable function. A positive definite adapted measure has $\mathcal{C}^0, \mathcal{C}^\alpha, \mathcal{C}^\infty$ density if so is f .*

Remark 6.6. *It follows from lemma 6.4 that if V is \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein but has non log terminal singularities, v is a volume form on V^{reg} but does not extend to a measure on V .*

6.3. Adapted volume forms for klt Kähler pairs. We will be briefer since pairs are mainly of interest to MMP practitioners. The key definition for us will be:

Definition 6.7. *A pair (V, Δ) is klt iff $K_V + \Delta$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier and if for any log-resolution $\pi : X \rightarrow V$ of (V, Δ) , we have the numerical equivalence of*

¹¹Note that the formula for v_β makes sense even if β is not a local generator.

Cartier divisors:

$$N(K_X + \Delta') \cong \pi^* N(K_V + \Delta) + \sum_{E \text{ exc.}} N a_E E$$

with $a_E > -1$, Δ' the proper transform of Δ in X (same multiplicities) and N is an integer such that $N(K_V + \Delta)$ is Cartier.

Thus a variety V has only klt singularities iff (X, \emptyset) is klt.

Let β be a local generator of $\mathcal{O}_V(N(K_V + \Delta))$. Then $\beta_{V^{reg}}$ can be viewed as a meromorphic N-canonical form with a pole of order Nd_i on E_i where $\Delta = \sum_i d_i E_i$ is the decomposition of Δ into prime divisors. Thus $v_{\beta_{V^{reg}}}$ defines a volume form with poles on V^{reg} , namely $v_{\beta_{V^{reg}}}$ is comparable to $\prod_i |s_i|^{-2d_i} d\lambda$, where s_i denotes the canonical section of $\mathcal{O}(E_i)$. If $v_{\beta_{V^{reg}}}$ is a finite measure then $d_i < 1$, but the converse is not true. We have the following straightforward extension of lemma 6.4:

Lemma 6.8. *Let $j' : V - \cup_i E_i \rightarrow V$ be the canonical inclusion. $j'_* v_\beta$ is a well defined Radon measure on V iff (X, Δ) is klt.*

The definition of an adapted measure for a klt pair is left to the reader.

7. SINGULAR KÄHLER-EINSTEIN METRICS

7.1. Singular Ricci curvature.

The smooth case. The link between Monge-Ampère equations and Kähler-Einstein metrics is provided by the following classical

Lemma 7.1. *Let X be complex manifold, let h be a smooth hermitian metric on ω_X and Ω a Kähler form such that $\Omega^n = v(h)$. The Ricci curvature divided by 2π of Ω is the Chern-Weil form $-c_1(K_X, h)$.*

Adapted measures and hermitian metrics on the canonical sheaf. Assume V is compact with only log terminal singularities, has index N and let h^N be a smooth hermitian metric on $\omega_V^{[N]}$. Let β be a local generator local of $\omega_V^{[N]}$. Define $v_\beta(h)$ to be the volume form on V^{reg} :

$$v_\beta(h) = \left(\sqrt{-1}^{Nn} (-1)^{N \frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \frac{\beta \wedge \bar{\beta}}{\|\beta\|_{h^N}^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{N}}$$

Since $v_\beta(h)$ is independent of β , this expression defines an adapted measure $v(h)$ with \mathcal{C}^∞ density on V .

Now, let $h_{sing}^N = e^{-N\chi} h^N$ be a singular metric on $\omega_V^{[N]}$. The Chern-Weil form $c_1(\omega_X^N, h_{sing}^N)$ is then well defined as a quasipositive current. Since h_{sing}^N has locally \mathcal{C}^∞ +psh potentials χ is locally bounded above and the above formula defines a measure $v(h_{sing}) = e^\chi v(h)$ on V such that $\frac{v(h_{sing})}{v(h)} \in L_{loc}^\infty$. In particular

$$\frac{v(h_{sing})}{\Omega^n} \in L^{1+\varepsilon}(V, \Omega^n) \text{ for } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ small enough.}$$

We have $c_1(K_X, h_{sing}) = c_1(K_X, h) + dd^c \chi$, where $c_1(K_X, h) := \frac{1}{N} c_1(\omega_X^N, h^N)$.

Definition 7.2. *Assume V has only log terminal singularities. An adapted measure on V is a positive Radon measure locally of the form $e^f \cdot v$ where f is locally given as the sum of a psh and a smooth function on V . An adapted measure is C^0 , C^α , C^∞ density if so is e^f .*

The definition has the virtue of generalizing the usual equivalence between smooth metrics on the canonical sheaf of a manifold and positive definite volume forms to singular metrics and log terminal spaces. This suggests the following ad hoc:

Definition 7.3. *Let V be a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein Kähler normal n -dimensional complex space with only log terminal singularities. Let Ω be a semi-Kähler current with L_{loc}^∞ potential and adapted Monge-Ampère measure. Let h be the singular metric on the canonical sheaf such that $\Omega^n = v(h)$. We define*

$$\text{Ric}(\Omega) := -c_1(K_V, h),$$

where the equality is to be taken in the sense of currents.

The metric Ω will be called a singular Kähler-Einstein metric if $\text{Ric}(\Omega) = c\Omega$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

7.2. Singular Ricci flat metrics.

Definition 7.4. *Let V be a Kähler space with only log terminal singularities. V is said to be \mathbb{Q} -CY, iff there is some multiple N' of $\text{index}(X)$ such that $H^0(V, \omega_V^{[N']}) = \mathbb{C}\alpha$, where α is a global generator of $\omega_V^{[N']}$.*

Theorem 7.5. *Assume V is a compact \mathbb{Q} -CY Kähler space. Let Ω be a smooth Kähler metric on V . Then there is a unique semi-Kähler current with continuous potential and adapted Monge-Ampère measure $\Omega' = \Omega + dd^c\varphi$, such that*

$$(\Omega + dd^c\varphi)^n = Cv_\alpha \text{ and } \sup_V \varphi = -1,$$

where $\int_V \Omega^n = C \int_V (-1)^n v_\alpha$.

Furthermore, if V is projective-algebraic and $[\Omega] \in NS_{\mathbb{R}}(V)$, then $\Omega + dd^c\varphi$ is smooth on V^{reg} where it defines a bona fide Ricci flat metric.

Corollary 7.6. *In each cohomology class of a smooth Kähler form, there is a unique singular Ricci flat metric..*

Proof. This follows straightforwardly from Theorems 6.3, 3.6, Lemma 6.4 and Definition 7.3. \square

Example 7.7. *A nodal quintic threefold is \mathbb{Q} -CY and has not quotient singularities, so the orbifold method of [Ko] does not work.*

7.3. Singular Kähler-Einstein metrics of negative curvature.

Theorem 7.8. *Let V be a general type projective algebraic variety with only canonical singularities such that K_V is ample. Let h^N a smooth hermitian metric on ω_V^N such that $\Omega = c_1(K_V, h)$ is a smooth Kähler form on V .*

There is a unique $\varphi \in C^0(V, \mathbb{R})$ such that:

- (1) φ is Ω -psh.
- (2) $\Omega + dd^c\varphi$ semi Kähler current with C^0 potential.

$$(3) (\Omega + dd^c\varphi)^n = e^{\varphi}v(h).$$

Consequently $\Omega + dd^c\varphi$ is the unique singular KE metric on V of negative curvature in the canonical class of V . The current $\Omega + dd^c\varphi$ has continuous potentials and is smooth on V^{reg} where it defines a bona fide KE metric.

Proof. This is a consequence of Theorems 4.1, 4.4, and Definition 7.3. \square

Remark 7.9. Thanks to Theorem 5.4, for X a projective algebraic manifold of general type such that $R(X) := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nK_X))$ is finitely generated, X has a unique birational model V such that the above hypotheses hold. Thus we have a birational map $\pi : X \dashrightarrow V$ which is well defined outside an indeterminacy locus S of codimension ≤ 2 . In particular $\pi^*(\omega + dd^c\varphi)$ is a closed positive current on $X - S$ that extends to a closed positive current T on X itself. The current T defines a KE metric on $X - S$. It needs not be a singular KE metric on X though, since its potentials may have logarithmic poles on S , in fact algebraic singularities of the form $\alpha \log(\sum |f_i|^2) + O(1)$ f_i holomorphic and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. Moreover, T lies in the canonical class of X iff X is a smooth minimal model as in [Ts].

Connection with [Ts]. Let X be a complex projective manifold such that K_X is nef and big. Let Ω be a smooth Kähler metric on X and consider the Kähler-Ricci flow

$$\frac{\partial \Omega_t}{\partial t} = -Ric(\Omega_t) - \Omega_t, \quad \Omega_0 = \Omega.$$

In [Ts], it was proved that this flow has a global solution for all time $t \in [0, \infty[$, and an argument was given, recently fully completed in [TZ], to the effect that Ω_t converges to a closed positive current T_{KE} , independent of Ω , which defines a smooth Kähler-Einstein metric outside the exceptional divisor E of the holomorphic bimeromorphic map $X \rightarrow X_{can}$. Its potential satisfies the Monge Ampère équation considered in Theorem 7.8 outside E . It follows from proposition 4.4 that the current T_{KE} coincides with the solution produced by Theorem 7.8.

The notes [ST], [TZ] announce a proof of the following properties, already conjectured by [Ts], that T_{KE} has locally bounded potential and satisfies the degenerate Monge-Ampère equation considered in Theorem 7.8. Our Theorem 7.8 in this case gives the precision that T_{KE} has continuous potentials.

Example 7.10. A nodal sextic threefold is of general type, Gorenstein, terminal, is its own canonical model, has no smooth minimal model and does not have quotient singularities. Therefore the orbifold method of [Ko] does not work and [Ts] does not apply.

7.4. Singular KE metrics on klt pairs. Let us now state the immediate generalization to klt pairs.

Definition 7.11. Let (V, Δ) be a klt compact Kähler pair.

The pair (V, Δ) is said to be \mathbb{Q} -CY, iff there is some multiple N' of index (X, Δ) such that $H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V(N'(K_V + \Delta))) = \mathbb{C}\alpha$ where α is a global generator of $\mathcal{O}_V(N'(K_V + \Delta))$.

The pair (V, Δ) is canonically polarized iff $K_V + \Delta$ is ample.

Theorem 7.12. *Let (V, Δ) be a klt compact Kähler pair.*

If (V, Δ) is \mathbb{Q} -CY it carries a singular Ricci flat metric with adapted volume form in any Kähler class of V , this current being smooth outside $\Delta \cup V^{sing}$ if V projective and the Kähler class is rational.

If it is canonically polarized it carries a unique singular KE metric in the cohomology class of $K_V + \Delta$, regular outside $\Delta \cup V^{sing}$.

Proof. For regularity on the smooth locus, we need the full statement of Theorems 3.5 and 4.5, poles included. \square

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Z. Blocki, S. Boucksom, A. Chiodo, J. Keller, S. Kolodziej, M. Paun and B. Toën for useful conversations and C. Simpson and Y.T. Siu for inspiring remarks.

REFERENCES

- A T.AUBIN: Équations du type Monge-Ampère sur les variétés kählériennes compactes. *Bull. Sci. Math.* (2) **102** (1978), no. 1, 63–95.
- BT E.BEDFORD & B.A.TAYLOR: A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions. *Acta Math.* **149** (1982), no. 1-2, 1–40.
- B1 Z.BLOCKI: Uniqueness and stability for the Monge-Ampère equation on compact Kaehler manifolds. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **52** (2003), no. 6, 1697–1701.
- B12 Z.BLOCKI: The Monge-Ampère equation on compact Kähler manifolds. *Lecture Notes of a course given at the Winter School in Complex Analysis, Toulouse, 24-328/02/2005.*
- BK Z.BLOCKI & S.KOLODZIEJ: Regularization of plurisubharmonic functions on manifolds. Preprint (2006).
- BGK C.BOYER & K.GALICKI & J.KOLLÁR: Einstein Metrics on spheres, *Ann. Math.* **162** (2005), 557-580.
- Ca E.CALABI: On Kähler manifolds with vanishing canonical class. *Algebraic geometry and topology. A symposium in honor of S. Lefschetz*, pp. 78–89. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J. (1957).
- CN P.CASCINI & G.LA NAVE: Kähler-Ricci Flow and the Minimal Model Program for Projective Varieties. Preprint arXiv math.AG/0603064.
- Ce U.CEGRELL: Pluricomplex energy. *Acta Math.* **180** (1998), no. 2, 187–217.
- Cor A. CORTI & F. AMBRO & O. FUJINO & C. HACON & J. KOLLÁR & J. Mc KERNAN & H. TAKAGI: Finitely Generated Algebras and Flips in Higher Dimensions, book in project, <http://www.ma.ic.ac.uk/%7Eacorti/flips.html>.
- Deb O.DEBARRE: Higher-dimensional algebraic geometry. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001. xiv+233 pp.
- Dem 1 J.-P.DEMAILLY: Monge-Ampère operators, Lelong numbers and intersection theory. *Complex analysis and geometry*, 115–193, Univ. Ser. Math., Plenum, New York (1993).
- Dem 2 J.-P.DEMAILLY: A numerical criterion for very ample line bundles. *J. Differential Geom.* **37** (1993), no. 2, 323–374.
- Dem 3 J.-P.DEMAILLY: Cohomology of q-convex spaces in top degrees, *Math. Zeitschrift* **203** (1990) 283-295.
- DP J.-P.DEMAILLY & M. PAUN: Numerical characterization of the Kähler cone of a compact Kähler manifold, *Ann. of Math.* **159** (2004), 1247-1274.
- FN J.E.FORNAESS & R.NARASIMHAN: The Levi problem on complex space with singularities. *Math. Ann.* **248** (1980), 47-72.

- GR H.GRAUERT & R.REMMERT: Coherent analytic sheaves. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **265**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984. xviii+249 pp.
- G V.GUEDJ: Approximation of currents on complex manifolds. *Math. Ann.* **313** (1999), no. 3, 437–474.
- GZ 1 V.GUEDJ & A.ZERIAHI: Intrinsic capacities on compact Kähler manifolds. *J. Geom. Anal.* **15** (2005), no. 4, 607–639.
- GZ 2 V.GUEDJ & A.ZERIAHI: Monge-Ampère operators on compact Kähler manifolds. Preprint ArXiv math.CV/0504234.
- HMck C. HACON & J. McKERNAN: On the existence of flips, math.AG/0507597.
- Ha 1 R.HARTSHORNE: Algebraic geometry. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **52**. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. xvi+496 pp.
- Ha 2 R.HARTSHORNE: Stable reflexive sheaves. *Math. Ann.* **254** (1980), no. 2, 121–176.
- Hi H.HIRONAKA, Resolution of singularities over a field of characteristic zero. I,II. *Annals of Math.* **79** (1964), 109–326.
- Hö L.HÖRMANDER: Notions of convexity, Birkhäuser (1994).
- Hu A.T.HUCKLEBERRY: Subvarieties of homogeneous and almost homogeneous manifolds. Contributions to complex analysis and analytic geometry, 189–232, Aspects Math., E26, Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1994.
- Ka Y.KAWAMATA: On the finiteness of generators of a pluricanonical ring for a 3-fold of general type. *Amer. J. Math.* **106** (1984), no. 6, 1503–1512.
- Ko R. KOBAYASHI: Einstein-Kähler V-metrics on open Satake V-surfaces with isolated quotient singularities. *Mathematische Annalen* **272** (1985), 385 - 398.
- KM J.KOLLÁR & S.MORI: Birational geometry of algebraic varieties. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti. Translated from the 1998 Japanese original. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, **134**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. viii+254 pp.
- K 1 S.KOŁODZIEJ: The complex Monge-Ampère equation. *Acta Math.* **180** (1998), no. 1, 69–117.
- K 2 S.KOŁODZIEJ: The Monge-Ampère equation on compact Kähler manifolds. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **52** (2003), no. 3, 667–686
- K 3 S.KOŁODZIEJ: The complex Monge-Ampère equation and pluripotential theory. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **178** (2005), no. 840, x+64 pp.
- MO K.MATSUKI & M.OLSSON: Kawamata-Viehweg as Kodaira vanishing for stacks. Preprint arXiv math.AG/0212259.
- Mo S.MORI: Flip theorem and the existence of minimal models for 3-folds. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1** (1988), no. 1, 117–253.
- Na M. NAKAMAYE: Stable base loci of linear series, *Math. Ann.* **318** (2000), 837–847.
- Pa M. PAUN: Sur l'effectivité numérique des images inverses de fibrés en droites *Math Ann* **310** (1998) 3, 411–421.
- R 1 M.REID: Canonical 3-folds. Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d'Angers, Juillet 1979 pp. 273–310, Sijthoff & Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn—Germantown, Md., (1980).
- R 2 M.REID: Young person's guide to canonical singularities. Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), 345–414, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 46, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- Sho V. SHOKUROV: Prelimiting flips. *Tr. Math. Inst. Steklova* **240** (2003), 82–219.
- S N.SIBONY: Dynamique des applications rationnelles de \mathbf{P}^k . Dynamique et géométrie complexes (Lyon, 1997), ix–x, xi–xii, 97–185, Panor. Synthèses, 8, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1999.
- Siu Y.T.SIU: A vanishing theorem for semipositive line bundles over non-Kähler manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.* **19** (1984), no. 2, 431–452.

- Siu 2 Y.T.SIU: Multiplier ideal sheaves in complex and algebraic geometry. Preprint arXiv math.AG/0504259.
- ST J.SONG & G.TIAN: The Kähler-Ricci flow on surfaces of positive Kodaira dimension. Preprint arXiv math.DG/0602150.
- T G.TIAN: Canonical metrics in Kähler geometry. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (2000).
- TZ G.TIAN & Z.ZHANG: On the Kähler-Ricci flow of projective manifolds of general type. Preprint.
- Ts H.TSUJI: Existence and degeneration of Kähler-Einstein metrics on minimal algebraic varieties of general type. Math. Ann. **281** (1988), no. 1, 123–133.
- Y S.T.YAU: On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation. I. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978), no. 3, 339–411.
- Z A.ZERIAHI: Volume and capacity of sublevel sets of a Lelong class of plurisubharmonic functions. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **50** (2001), no. 1, 671–703.

Philippe Eyssidieux
Institut Fourier - UMR5582
100 rue des Maths, BP 74
38402 St Martin d'Herès (FRANCE)
eyssi@fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr

Vincent Guedj & Ahmed Zeriahi
Laboratoire Emile Picard
UMR 5580, Université Paul Sabatier
118 route de Narbonne
31062 TOULOUSE Cedex 04 (FRANCE)
guedj@picard.ups-tlse.fr
zeriahi@picard.ups-tlse.fr